Connecting the Deep Earth and the Atmosphere
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**ABSTRACT**

Most hotspots, kimberlites, and large igneous provinces (LIPs) are sourced by plumes that rise from the margins of two large low shear-wave velocity provinces in the lowermost mantle. These thermochemical provinces have been quasi-stable for hundreds of millions years and plume heads rise through the mantle in about 30 Myr or less. LIPs provide a direct link between the deep Earth and the atmosphere but environmental consequences depend on both their volumes and the composition of the crustal rocks they are emplaced through. LIP activity can alter the plate tectonic setting by creating and modifying plate boundaries and hence changing the paleogeography and its long-term forcing on climate. Extensive blankets of LIP-lava on the Earth’s surface can also enhance silicate weathering and potentially lead to CO\(_2\) drawdown, but we find no clear relationship between LIPs and post-emplacement variation in atmospheric CO\(_2\) proxies on very long (>10 Myrs) time-scales. Subduction flux estimates correlate well with zircon age frequency distributions through time. This suggest that continental arc activity may have played an important role in regulating long-term climate change (greenhouse vs. icehouse conditions) but only the Permo-Carboniferous icehouse show a clear correlation with the zircon record.

**16.1. INTRODUCTION**

The Earth’s surface is ever changing as a reflection of how the deep Earth interacts with its crust and atmosphere. By controlling the distribution of continents and oceans,
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Construction of mountains, arc-volcanism, topography, and weathering, the process of plate tectonics plays an intricate role in shaping the climate on geological timescales. On shorter timescales, large igneous provinces (LIPs) can directly perturb the climate system through the massive release of gases to the atmosphere (Figure 16.1a), either from lavas and shallow intrusions, or remobilized from sedimentary rocks subjected to metamorphism in contact with subvolcanic sills, dykes, and igneous centers (Svensen et al. 2004). LIPs mark punctuated periods in Earth’s history characterized by an initial onset phase followed by a large volume flux (“acme”; generally over geologically short timescales), and a waning phase (e.g., Jerram & Widdowson 2005). This main acme phase that sees the largest volumes of melt emplaced/erupted is often about one million years (Myr) or shorter in duration (e.g., Ernst 2014).
Figure 16.1 The dynamic exchanges from the core to atmosphere. (a) The internal plumbing system, eruption and degassing during LIP emplacement. Melt can be emplaced at various levels within the crust and the mix of volcanic and metamorphic degassing exchanges gases into the Earth’s atmosphere (e.g., Svensen & Jamtveit 2010; Jones et al. 2016). (b) A cartoon profile approximately through the reconstructed Paraná-Etendeka (PE) LIP, North Madagascar-Seychelles, India and Australia at ~135 Ma. The slabs that influenced the formation of plumes sourcing the Paraná-Etendeka LIP, and contemporaneous kimberlites in South Africa and Namibia, were probably linked to an old subduction zone along the western margin of South America. The South Atlantic opened shortly after the Paraná-Etendeka LIP. Conversely, the Gascoyne (G) and Bunbury (B) LIPs were linked to old subduction systems along eastern Australia. Earth today is a degree-2 planet dominated by the two antipodal large low-shear-wave velocity provinces in the lower mantle (Figures 16.4b, 5) beneath Africa (TUZO) and the Pacific (JASON), which are warmer but probably also denser and stiffer than the ambient mantle in its lowermost few hundred kilometres. Here we only show TUZO, and the orange color is shown to indicate that the area above TUZO is also warmer than the background mantle. PGZ, plume generation zone; ULVZ, Ultra Low Velocity Zone. Source: (a) Adapted from Bryan et al. 2010; Jerram & Bryan 2015; Jerram et al. 2018, (b) Svensen et al. 2018; Torsvik 2018.
Such short-lived events have had a profound influence on the shaping of the Earth’s surface and directly contribute to the Earth’s atmosphere and biosphere. Therefore, a causal link between LIPs and mass extinctions has long been postulated (e.g., Wignall 2001). The LIPs themselves are manifest on the Earth’s surface in the form of giant “traps,” i.e., lava sequences, sill and dyke complexes, and large igneous centers, with preservation dependant on age, style of emplacement, and erosional/tectonic circumstances (field examples of preserved parts of various LIPs through time are shown in Figure 16.2). The rapid reshaping of the Earth’s surface with aerially extensive blankets of lava can lead to large areas of juvenile volcanics undergoing weathering and erosion, thereby contributing to the sedimentary budget (Jones et al. 2016).

In the case of lava and magma degassing, the volatiles are ultimately sourced from the mantle and include carbon dioxide (CO₂), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), and hydrogen chloride (HCl). The emplacement of melts into sedimentary basins (Figure 16.1a) generates additional volatiles during prograde heating of the surrounding sedimentary rocks. Such reactions involve the release of methane (CH₄), CO₂, SO₂, HCl, and halocarbons from vast, volatile-rich reservoirs such as shale, coal, carbonates, and evaporites. Depending on the melt fluxes during the evolution of LIPs, the released gases may cause a range of environmental and climatic effects on various timescales (e.g., Jones et al. 2016). Aerosol-generating SO₂ may trigger initial global cooling on very short timescales (years), CH₄ and CO₂ can lead to global warming over longer timescales (10²–10⁵ years), whereas HCl and halocarbons perturb the atmospheric chemistry and can destabilize the ozone layer (Svensen et al. 2009; Black et al. 2012). The large volume of magma input into the Earth’s surface system also leads to a marked increase in mercury (Hg) released into the environment, eventually deposited in sedimentary archives (e.g., Sanei et al. 2012). High-resolution stratigraphic studies and new proxies (such as Hg) have strengthened the view that LIPs may have played an important role in triggering key events such as mass extinctions and oceanic anoxia through rapid climate perturbations. Examples include the end-Permian (~252 Ma; Siberian Traps) and end-Triassic events (~201 Ma; Central Atlantic Magmatic Province, CAMP), the early Toarcian (~183 Ma; Karoo-Ferrar LIP), and the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (North Atlantic Igneous Province, NAIP) at around 56 Ma (Figures 16.3b–e).

LIP emplacement (Figure 16.4a) highlights a direct link between plume generation processes in the deep mantle (Figure 16.1b), dynamic interactions within the Earth’s crust, and changes within the atmosphere-hydrosphere-biosphere system. Mantle plumes preferentially rise from the margins of two thermochemical anomalies in the deepest mantle (Burke & Torsvik 2004; Burke et al. 2008; Torsvik et al. 2006, 2010a, 2014, 2016). These regions (Figure 16.4b) are known as large low shear-wave velocity provinces (LLSVPs; Garnero et al. 2007, 2016), or simply dubbed TUZO (The Unmoved Zone Of Earth’s deep mantle) and JASON (Just As Stable ON the opposite meridian), beneath Africa and the Pacific, respectively (Burke 2011), to honor the pioneering works of Tuzo Wilson (e.g., Wilson 1963) and Jason Morgan (e.g., Morgan 1971).

A simple model of surface–mantle interaction has emerged after the recognition of a remarkable correlation, not only between active hotspot volcanoes and present day deep mantle structures (Figure 16.5a), but also reconstructed kimberlites and LIPs (Figure 16.4b) for the past 300 Myrs. Numerical models of mantle convection made to investigate the stability of TUZO and JASON have shown that it is possible to maintain stability for hundreds of millions years (Steinberger & Torsvik 2012; Bower et al. 2013; Bull et al. 2014) and their continued existence for billions of years (Mulyukova et al. 2015a). However, the long-term stability of TUZO and JASON with plumes sourced from their margins is debated (e.g., Austermann et al. 2014; Davies et al. 2015; Zhong et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2010; Zhong & Rudolph 2015; Doubrovine et al. 2016; Torsvik et al. 2016; Hassan et al. 2016; Flament et al. 2017; Tegner et al. 2019), some LIPs may not have been originating from plumes (e.g., Coltice et al. 2007), and some question the very existence of mantle plumes (e.g., Julian et al. 2015). We therefore briefly review the observational evidence for the existence of specific plume generation zones and LLSVP stability (Section 16.2), before moving on to estimates for how long plumes take to travel from the core–mantle boundary (CMB) to the base of the lithosphere (Section 16.3). Catastrophic melting at the base of the lithosphere can lead to the formation of LIPs at the surface and consequent atmospheric perturbations that are temporally linked to abrupt climate changes and mass extinctions (Section 16.4).

The long-term role of LIPs in the Earth system include a reduction in atmospheric CO₂ (cooling) on long timescales (10⁵ to 10⁶ years) through enhanced silicate weathering. The chemical weathering of silicates sequesters atmospheric CO₂ by providing alkalinity and dissolved cations (Ca, Mg) to the oceans, which promotes carbonate formation (Walker et al. 1981). Moreover, the supply of nutrients and reactive Fe causes the enhanced burial of organic carbon (Hawley et al. 2017). Combined, these effects work to lower atmospheric CO₂ on a range of timescales from seasonally (organic carbon) to >10,000 years.
Figure 16.2 The expression of LIPs on the Earth’s surface with key examples through time. (a) Ethiopian plateau, Afar (Ethiopia-Yemen province); (b) Fingal’s cave, North Atlantic Igneous Province (NAIP), Scotland; (c) Deccan Traps, India; (d) Etendeka lava sequences in Namibia, Paraná-Etendeka Province; (e) Giant sill complexes in Antarctica, Karoo-Ferrar Province; (f) Rare lava flow examples in Morocco, Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP); (g) Siberian Traps in Russia. Source: (a-e) Photographs by Dougal Jerram, (f) Courtesy of Sara Callegaro, (g) Photographs by Dougal Jerram.
The denudation of basaltic terrains is a particularly important component of silicate weathering, with modern estimates predicting that such terrains contribute 30–35% of the terrestrial silicate flux, despite comprising only ~5% of the continental surface area (Dessert et al. 2003). Projected subaerial extents of LIPs are a similar order of magnitude to the modern aerial extent of basaltic terrains (6.85 x 10^6 km^2), indicating that the arrival of abundant lavas and volcanic products at the Earth surface may have a strong impact on global silicate weathering rates.

Weathering is largely controlled by relief and climate, where LIPs in cold and dry climates weather slowly, and warm and wet environments lead to rapid weathering. A striking example is the difference between the CAMP emplaced at 201 Ma and the Siberian Traps erupted at 252 Ma. The CAMP (Figure 16.2f) was emplaced in the tropics (Figure 16.3d) and most of the flood basalts are argued to have eroded rapidly (Marzoli et al. 2018), which makes it very challenging to reconstruct the original LIP volumes, including melt fluxes and volatile release (Svensen et al. 2018). The present-day volume of the CAMP flood basalts is estimated to be about 0.1 x 10^6 km^3, with an original volume on the order of 1.5 x 10^6 km^3; this represents a preservation of ~7% (cf. Marzoli et al. 2018). In contrast, the Siberian Traps, located at ~60° North (Figure 16.3d) since the Triassic, still have about 22% of the original flood basalts volume remaining (cf. Vasiliev et al. 2000; 0.651 x 10^6 km^3 of present day flood basalts versus an assumed original volume of 3 x 10^6 km^3). Although the original volume of the Siberian Traps flood basalts is poorly constrained, it would need to be 8.6 x 10^6 km^3 in order to obtain the same percentage of preservation as that for the CAMP. In Section 16.5 we consider such long-term effects stemming from the complex interplay of LIP emplacement, paleogeography, weathering, atmospheric CO₂ fluctuations and climate.

16.2. OBSERVED LINKS BETWEEN DEEP EARTH AND SURFACE VOLCANISM

There are three distinct kinds of volcanic systems that are interpreted to be related to the deep mantle: LIPs (Figure 16.4b), kimberlites, and hotspot volcanoes (Figure 16.5a). Almost all of these features laid, at the time of their original eruption, vertically or nearly vertically above the margins of TUZO and JASON. By comparing restored LIPs with global tomographic shear-wave models (Torsvik et al. 2006; Doubrovine et al. 2016), it becomes apparent that most reconstructed LIPs overlie a contour of constant velocity which corresponds to the largest horizontal velocity gradient in the lowermost mantle. That is the 1% slow contour in the smean model (Becker & Boschi 2002), or the 0.9% slow contour in the s10mean model (Doubrovine et al. 2016), both at 2800 km depth. This contour was originally dubbed the faster/slower boundary (Torsvik et al. 2006) and later the plume generation zone (PGZ; Burke et al. 2008; red lines in Figures 16.4b, 16.5). Global shear-wave-velocity models provide broadly similar characteristics near the CMB (e.g., Torsvik et al. 2008a, 2014; Doubrovine et al. 2016; Garnero et al. 2016), the choice of tomographic model is therefore not critical to our analysis below, but leads to slightly different statistical correlations. Also note that smean (Becker & Boschi 2002) and s10mean (Doubrovine et al. 2016) are averaged from 3 and 10 global shear-wave-velocity models, respectively. Around 30 global tomography models can be visualized and compared at http://submachine.earth.ox.ac.uk (Hosseri et al. 2018).

Hotspots are commonly referred to as volcanism un-related to plate boundaries and some define linear chains of seamounts and volcanoes with a clear age progression (e.g., the Hawaiian Chain). A few hotspots also lie at the ends of volcano chains connected to a starting LIP, e.g., the Tristan (Paraná–Etendeka) and Réunion (Deccan) hotspots, while the New England hotspot (Doubrovine et al. 2012) lies at the end of a trail that was connected with Jurassic kimberlite volcanism in continental north-east America (e.g., Zurevinski et al. 2011). Numerous catalogues of hotspots have been compiled and published (e.g., Richards et al. 1988; Sleep 1990; Steinberger 2000, Courtillot et al. 2003; Torsvik et al. 2006; Morgan & Morgan 2007), but many hotspots in these lists may not have a deep plume origin. Consequently, we here only analyze 27 hotspots (Figure 16.5a, Table 16.1) classified as being sourced by deep-rooted plumes from seismic tomography (French & Romanowicz 2015). Most are today located near-vertically above the margins of TUZO and JASON and plot on average 5.9 ± 4.4° (great-circle distance, the shortest distance on a sphere, and reported with standard deviation) from the PGZ (0.9% slow contour in the s10mean model). Eruptions of kimberlites (ultrabasic magmas) were exceptionally rare in the past 50 Myrs (Figure 16.3f; Torsvik et al. 2010a), but the youngest known kimberlite volcanoes – the Igwisi Hills volcanoes in Tanzania (Dawson 1994) – erupted near-vertically above the eastern margin of TUZO (Figure 16.5a) at around 12,000 years ago (Brown et al. 2012). This young kimberlite and all hotspots in the Indo-Atlantic realm are located directly above the margins of TUZO while a few of the deeply sourced Pacific hotspots (e.g., Tahiti) are displaced toward the interior of JASON (Torsvik et al. 2016).
Figure 16.3 (a) Phanerozoic timescale with greenhouse (hot) versus icehouse (cold) conditions. (b) Major extinction events (% marine genera lost) and other minor extinction events. The “Big Five” mass extinctions are labeled 1 to 5 (see also Table 16.2). (c) Ocean Anoxic Events (OAEs, black filled circles) and carbon-isotope excursion/or black shale development not formally classified as OAEs (open white circles, e.g., PETM, Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum at ~56 Ma). (d) LIP eruption latitudes calculated from paleomagnetic data (i.e., relative to the spin-axis) for the past 510 Myrs. We have reconstructed the estimated latitudinal centers through time (red dashed lines) for those LIPs that remained within the tropics for considerable times. Note that CAMP (Central Atlantic Magmatic Province) is reconstructed for North America and CAMP volcanics in NW Africa and South America will essentially stay within the tropics until present times. AM, Argo Margin; B, Bunbury; BR, Broken Ridge; CA, Caribbean; CAMP, Central Atlantic Magmatic Province; CRB, Columbia River Basalt; HALIP, High Arctic LIP; HE, Hess Rise; MR, Maud Rise; MR, Mid-Pacific Mountains; MM, Madagascar-Merion; NAIP, North Atlantic Igneous Province; PE, Paraná-Etendeka; SCLIP, Skagerrak Centred LIP; SH, Shatsky Rise; SR, Sierra Leone Rise; R, Rajmahal. (e) Estimated area extent and volumes for Phanerozoic LIPs (logarithmic scale). (f) Kimberlite frequency plot (N = 1918) for the Phanerozoic (gray bars in 10 Myr bins). Also shown the frequency distribution separately for southern African kimberlites (N = 867) as black bars. Globally, two main peaks are identified for the Phanerozoic – between 80–90 Ma and 110–120 Ma – but both Cretaceous peaks are dominated by kimberlite eruptions in southern Africa. Ages based on a combination of in-house CEED-industry sources and Tappe et al. (2018); only kimberlites and not related rocks also listed in this compilation; e.g., lamprophyres. Source: (a) Torsvik & Cocks 2017, (b) Bond & Grasby 2017, (Percival et al. 2015) (e) Ernst & Buchan 2001; Ernst 2014, (f) Torsvik et al. 2010a, 2014; Torsvik & Cocks 2017.
Figure 16.4 (a) The location of 32 Phanerozoic large igneous provinces (LIPs; 15–510 Myr old) which we can reconstruct with varying confidence back to their eruption time (panel b). These are shown with red polygon fills whilst two others that we do not reconstruct (Caribbean and the High Arctic LIPs) are shown with brown polygon fills. Precambrian/Early Cambrian rocks argued to be LIP-related are shown with green polygon fills. The areal extent of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP) is liberal in the inclusion of ~201 Myr basalts, sills and dykes. (b) Reconstructed estimated LIP centers (based on panel a) using four different reconstruction methods. After 83.5 Ma we use a global moving hotspot frame. In the Indo-Atlantic realm this is extended by a moving hotspot frame to 120 Ma (red squared symbols) and a paleomagnetic frame corrected for true polar wander 120–320 Ma (blue squared symbols). The Pacific-Panthalassic can only be extended from 83.5 Ma to ~150 Ma with a fixed hotspot frame (yellow squared symbols). Before Pangea we can use the plume generation zone (PGZ) method (green triangles) (see text for details). Reconstructed LIPs are draped on
In contrast to hotspots, LIPs (Figure 16.4a, Table 16.2) and kimberlites (except the young Igwisi Hills) must be reconstructed in a mantle reference frame in order to explore their links to present day deep-Earth mantle structures. Figure 16.4b summarizes our latest reconstructions of 32 Phanerozoic LIPs between 510 and 15 Ma. We use a global moving hotspot reference frame back to 83.5 Ma, which has been extended by a separate Indo-Atlantic moving hotspot reference frame back to 120 Ma (Doubrovine et al. 2012) and – except for the young and relatively small Colombia River Basalt – the restored LIPs fall near-vertically above the plume generation zones of an assumed fixed TUZO (5.0 ± 2.7°, N = 10 LIPs, red-colored squared symbols in Figure 16.4b). About 80% of all kimberlites that occurred since Pangea formed (Torsvik et al. 2010a) show a close link to the margins of TUZO – and as an example – reconstructed kimberlites from southern Africa (South Africa, Botswana, Angola and Lesotho) with ages between 80–90 Ma and 110–120 Ma (dominating the two peaks in global kimberlite occurrences; Figure 16.3f) plot 12.8 ± 1.0° (N = 451 kimberlites) from the PGZ (white-colored squared symbols in Figure 16.4b).

The Pacific Plate can only be linked through plate-circuits to the Indo-Atlantic realm for the last 83.5 Ma, and before that, the Pacific Plate must be referenced directly to the mantle using a fixed hotspot scheme. Here we use a new hotspot model of Torsvik et al. (2019) to reconstruct Pacific LIPs between 100 Ma (Hess Rise) and 144 Ma (Shatsky Rise). The new model shows similarities to that of Wessel and Kroenke (2008), but net lithosphere rotation and Pacific Plate velocities are much lower, and a total of six reconstructed Pacific LIPs (yellow squared symbols in Figure 16.4b) fall near-vertically above the PGZ of JASON (3.2 ± 2.8°, N = 6 LIPs). No kimberlites are related to JASON for the past 320 Ma since kimberlites are mostly derived from great depths in continental lithosphere and no continents have overlain JASON since Pangea formed. In the Indo-Atlantic domain, LIPs older than 120 Ma are reconstructed with true polar wander (TPW) corrected paleomagnetic data (Torsvik et al. 2012; Doubrovine et al. 2016; Torsvik, 2018), and reconstructed LIPs between 120 Ma and 297 Ma (Figure 16.4b) also closely correlate with the PGZs of TUZO (4.1 ± 3.1°, N = 11 LIPs). This is also the case for most kimberlites before 120 Ma, and as an example, reconstructed North American kimberlites (USA, Canada) with ages between 120 and 300 Ma cluster along the northwest PGZ margin of TUZO (4 ± 4°, N = 181 kimberlites, gray-colored squared symbols in Figure 16.4b).

Austermann et al. (2014) and Davies et al. (2015) have argued that the observed correlation between the reconstructed LIPs and the margins of TUZO and JASON (Figure 16.4b) can be equally well or even better explained by deep plumes forming randomly over the entire area associated with the LLSVPs. This criticism was addressed statistically in Doubrovine et al. (2016), and although the hypothesis proposing that LIP-sourcing plumes form randomly over the entire area of TUZO and JASON cannot be ruled out, probability models assuming plumes rising from their margins provide a much better fit to the observed distribution of reconstructed LIPs.

The striking spatial correlation between reconstructed LIPs and the PGZs of TUZO and JASON for the past 300 Ma (also the case for kimberlites and TUZO PGZs) implies that TUZO and JASON have remained quasi-stable since Pangea formed. Taking a step further, if we assume that TUZO and JASON were likewise stable in earlier time (before 300 Ma), we can calibrate continental longitudes in such a way that LIPs and kimberlites erupted above the edges of TUZO and JASON (Torsvik et al. 2014). This is known as the plume generation zone reconstruction method; there are ~300 kimberlites but only three Paleozoic LIPs to be reconstructed in this manner, i.e., the ~360 Ma Yakutsk LIP (Siberia), the

Figure 16.4 (Continued) the s10tmean tomography model (2800 km depth). The lowermost mantle is dominated by two antipodal large low shear-wave velocity provinces (LLSVPs) beneath Africa (TUZO) and the Pacific (JASON). Most LIPs are formed near their margins – the plume generation zones (PGZs) – which are approximated by the 0.9% slow contour (thick red line) in s10tmean. The Columbia River Basalt (CRB) is an exception as it erupted above an area of high shear-wave velocities (blue regions) in the lowermost mantle and the Siberian Traps may overlie a smaller and perhaps separate anomaly, named Perm. Abbreviated LIPs include: A, Argo; BB, Bunbury; CAMP, Central Atlantic Magmatic Province; G, Gascoyne; HR, Hess Rise; MM, Madagascar-Marion; MR, Maud Rise; NALP, North Atlantic Igneous Province; OJN, Ontong Java Nui; P, Panjal; PE, Parana-Etendeka; R, Rajmahal; SCLIP, Skagerrak Centred LIP; SLR, Sierra Leone Rise; SR, Shatsky Rise. We have highlighted six continental LIPs that erupted at low latitudes (e.g., CAMP). We have also included two examples of reconstructed kimberlites, (i) reconstruction of 451 Southern African (South Africa, Botswana, Angola & Lesotho) kimberlites between 80–90 Ma and 110–120 Ma (dominating the two global kimberlite frequency peaks in Figure 16.3f), and (ii) reconstruction of 181 North American (USA, Canada) kimberlites with ages 120–300 Ma. Source: (a) Modified after Ernst (2014), (b) Torsvik et al. (2019), Doubrovine et al. (2016), Gamero et al. (2007), Lekic et al. (2012).
Figure 16.5 (a) Seismic tomographic $s10\text{mean}$ model ($\delta V, \%$) at 2800 km depth (Doubrovine et al. 2016). The thick red line is the 0.9% slow contour and approximates the plume generation zones (PGZs; largest horizontal gradients in $s10\text{mean}$). The thin black lines are the zero contours. The location of 27 inferred deep-rooted plumes (French & Romanowicz 2015) are shown with 5° ovals which are close to the average great-circle distance to the PGZ (5.8 ± 4.4°). We also show the location of the youngest kimberlite on Earth (Igwisi Hills kimberlite in Tanzania), only 12,000 years and can therefore be shown on the map without reconstructing it. (b) Computed plume source locations in the lowermost mantle for plume head rise times 0–120 Myr, for time-dependent mantle flow based on $s10\text{mean}$ tomography, and considering the LLSVPs to be chemically dense piles (see text and Appendix 16.1).
~400 Ma Altay-Sayan LIP (South Siberia) and the ~510 Ma Kalkarindji LIP in Western Australia. All three of these LIPs erupted in the Northern Hemisphere (Figure 16.3d), and in a mantle frame (i.e., corrected for TPW) modeled to be sourced by plumes from the northeast margin of TUZO (green stars in Figure 16.4b). We have also restored a younger LIP, the ~260 Ma Emeishan LIP, to the western margin of JASON using this method (Figure 16.4b). The longitude of south China is otherwise unknown as it cannot be tied to the Indo-Atlantic reference frame through plate circuits because it was not an integrated part of Pangea in the late Paleozoic (Torsvik et al. 2008a, 2014; Jerram et al. 2016a; Torsvik & Domeier 2017).

There is also a High Arctic LIP (HALIP), originally considered to include only upper Cretaceous volcanics, on Axel Heiberg Island in the Canadian Arctic (Tarduno et al. 1998), but extended to be grouped with magmatism in many other places in the High Arctic (e.g., Svalbard, Franz Josef Land and the Barents Sea). Because radiometric ages for HALIP show a wide range (130–180 Ma) and a plume center is not readily defined, HALIP is not shown in our reconstructions of LIPs (Figure 16.4b). However, assuming that peak activity occurred around 124 Ma (based on U/Pb data on sills; Corfu et al. 2013) and a tentative plume center just to the north of Ellesmere, we estimate an eruption latitude of ~60°N (paleomagnetic frame; Figure 16.3d).

### Table 16.1 List of 27 hotspots that we analyse (Figure 16.5) and which are those considered to be potentially sourced by deep plumes from the core–mantle boundary (French & Romanowicz 2015). We largely adopted hotspot ages, anomalous mass (buoyancy) fluxes and hotspot locations from Steinberger (2000, Table 1). For plumes included in Steinberger & Antretter (2006) we adopted locations from Table 1 of that paper (the first one, if several are given). We here use ages 31 Ma for East Africa (Afar), 62 Ma for Iceland and 135 Ma for Tristan, and for Hawaii, Kerguelen, Louisville, Marion, Reunion and Samoa we adopt ages from Steinberger & Antretter (2006), based in part on newer and better age constraints of the associated flood basalts. For Ascension, which is not included in either of these papers, the location (14.3°W, 7.9°S) corresponds to Ascension Island, an age of 20 Ma is inferred from the location of the further one of two seamounts, ~500 km west of the island, and local South America plate motion in a hotspot reference frame (Doubrovine et al., 2012). Anomalous mass flux 0.7·10^3 kg/s is inferred from averaging over the same sources as in Steinberger (2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hotspot</th>
<th>Long (°)</th>
<th>Lat (°)</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Anomalous mass flux (10^3 kg/s)</th>
<th>Category*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascension</td>
<td>−14.3</td>
<td>−7.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azores</td>
<td>−28.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canary</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comores</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>−11.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Africa (Afar)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter</td>
<td>−109.3</td>
<td>−27.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galapagos</td>
<td>−91.5</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>−155.3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoggar</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Fernandez</td>
<td>−82</td>
<td>−34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>−49</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville</td>
<td>−138.1</td>
<td>−50.9</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macdonald</td>
<td>−140.2</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion (Crozet)</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>−46.9</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquesas</td>
<td>−138</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteor (Bouvet)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitcairn</td>
<td>−129</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>−21.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>−169.1</td>
<td>−14.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Felix</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Helena</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tahiti</td>
<td>−148.1</td>
<td>−17.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tristan</td>
<td>−11.3</td>
<td>−38.7</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Follows classification of French & Romanowicz (2015): (1) Primary, (2) clearly resolved, and (3) somewhat resolved.
Table 16.2  Large igneous provinces (LIPs, Figure 16.4a), eruption latitude with respect to the Earth’s spin axis (i.e., paleomagnetic latitude), mass extinctions (1–5); Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs; black shaded rows) and carbon-isotope excursion or black shale development not formally classified as OAEs (gray-shaded rows; see Figure 16.3b–d). Reconstruction of the LIPs with respect to the mantle is shown in Figure 16.4b. LIP type: CLIP, continental; OLIP, Oceanic. *1Peak magmatic activity around 55–56 Ma, near the PETM, and just prior to opening of the Northeast Atlantic (Torsvik et al. 2015); *2Magmatic activity occurred from 92 to 84 Ma with peak magmatic activity around 87 Ma and just a few million year before India/Seychelles drifted off Madagascar (Torsvik et al. 2001). *3–*4Uncertain to reconstruct in an absolute reference frame (not reconstructed in Figure 16.4b); *5Ernst (2014) assumed an emplacement age of 130 Ma (oldest known date is 128 Ma) whilst Madrigal et al. (2016) used an initial LIP age of 140 Ma (magnetic anomaly age) for the main volcanic constructs. *6Most preserved parts are allochthonous and thus there are considerable reconstruction uncertainties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Large igneous province</th>
<th>Eruption latitude</th>
<th>Mass extinction/OANoxia events</th>
<th>LIP type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Columbia River Basalt</td>
<td>46.8°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Afar</td>
<td>4.2°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>North Atlantic Igneous Province*1</td>
<td>52.9°N</td>
<td>PETM</td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Deccan</td>
<td>22.7°S</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Sierra Leone Rise</td>
<td>1.4°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Madagascar*2</td>
<td>46°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP/OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Caribbean*3</td>
<td>~ 0°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP/OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Broken Ridge</td>
<td>49.3°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Hess Rise</td>
<td>4.3°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Central Kerguelen</td>
<td>48.7°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Agulhas Plateau</td>
<td>55.2°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Nauru Basin</td>
<td>20°S</td>
<td>OAE1b</td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>South Kerguelen</td>
<td>55.3°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Rajmahal</td>
<td>46.1°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Ontong Java Nui mega-LIP (Ontong Java, Manihiki &amp; Hikurango Plateaus)</td>
<td>31.4°S</td>
<td>OAE1a</td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>High Arctic LIP*4</td>
<td>~ 60°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP/OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Wallaby Plateau</td>
<td>47.2°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Maud Rise</td>
<td>49.9°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Bunbury</td>
<td>62.7°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Paraná-Etendecka</td>
<td>27.7°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Magellan Rise</td>
<td>18.6°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Gascoyne</td>
<td>54.5°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Mid-Pacific Mountains*5</td>
<td>6.1°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Shatsky Rise</td>
<td>7°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Argo Margin</td>
<td>41.3°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>OLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Karoo-Ferrar</td>
<td>39.5°S</td>
<td>OAE</td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Central Atlantic Magmatic Province</td>
<td>2.8°N</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>Siberian Traps</td>
<td>62.4°N</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>Emeishan</td>
<td>5.1°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>Panjalt Traps (Tethyan Plume)*6</td>
<td>46.2°S</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>Skagerrak Centred LIP</td>
<td>11.3°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>Yakutsk</td>
<td>36.6°N</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>OAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Altay-Sayan</td>
<td>30.9°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>Kalkarindji</td>
<td>6.5°N</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OAE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 16.2) – and sourced by a mantle plume from the northernmost areas of TUZO. The Cretaceous Caribbean LIP is also not included in our analysis (Figure 16.4b) because of plate reconstruction uncertainties and an unknown plume center. But using an age of ~90 Ma and a tentative plume center near the western margin of central America, we estimate an eruption latitude near the Equator (Figure 16.3d, Table 16.2), and sourced by a plume from the eastern margin of TUZO.

16.3. PLUME ASCENT SCENARIOS

Over the past decade considerable effort has been dedicated to estimating the sinking rate of subducted slabs through the lower mantle. On a global scale, van der Meer et al. (2010) determined an average lower-mantle slab sinking rate of ~1.2 cm/yr, but on the basis of a larger subduction dataset this sinking rate was later refined and determined to be nonlinear (van der Meer et al. 2018). Interpretation of lower mantle slab ages critically depend on their upper mantle history (Figure 16.6a): some slabs may directly penetrate the base of the transition zone (660 km), while others flatten and may stagnate for tens of million years (e.g., Goes et al. 2017). Nevertheless, a first-order estimate of whole mantle slab sinking rates will prove useful for our purposes here. Assuming sinking rates in the upper mantle of ~5 cm/yr, possible delays in the transition zone, and 1–2 cm/yr sinking rates in the lower mantle (van der Meer et al. 2010, 2018; Domeier et al. 2016), the estimated time for slabs to reach the lowermost mantle above the core–mantle boundary (CMB) is about 200–240 Myrs (Figure 16.6a). This is approximately twice as long as predictions derived from geodynamic models (Steinberger et al. 2012), although some convection models show such timing when viscosity increases with depth and thermal expansivity decreases too (Cízková et al. 2012).

Subducting slabs impose global flow, which influences the formation of instabilities and mantle plumes along the margins of TUZO and JASON (Figures 16.1b, 16.6a). As sinking slabs approach the CMB, they push material, including the hot thermal boundary layer above the CMB, ahead of them. Once these hot, displaced materials reach the boundary with the hot, but chemically dense LLSVPs, they are forced upward, and may start to rise in the form of plumes (Steinberger & Torsvik 2012). We therefore have a consistent picture of how and where plumes may start their protracted journey from the deep Earth – but a key question is, how long does a plume take to travel from the CMB to the base of the lithosphere? This could be estimated analytically for a Stokes sphere, and numerical model results also exist (e.g., Lin & Van Keken 2006), but these results are unreliable, due to large uncertainties, especially in mantle rheology and the composition of plume material. Here we therefore take an alternative approach and investigate this question by finding plume ascent times from source locations in the lowermost mantle that most closely coincide with the edges of the LLSVPs, following the assumption that their margins should act as PGZs.

Plume source locations are determined by using an extension of an existing method: Steinberger and O’Connell (1998) initiated their computation with a vertical conduit at the time of plume head eruption. This approximation corresponds to a plume head that rises very fast, such that the conduit, which trails the head, is not much distorted during ascent of the head. They computed how, subsequent to eruption of the plume head, the conduit gets distorted and the surface hotspot moves accordingly. Here we extend this computation by taking a finite ascent time of the plume head into account, during which the motion and distortion of the conduit is already considered. Our aim, then, is to determine an ascent time that will restore a plume from its present-day surface location (which we know) to an original source location in the lowermost mantle that is proximal to a PGZ. We make the simplifying assumption that plume head rising speed is inversely proportional to ambient mantle viscosity at that depth, and absolute values are chosen such that a specified total ascent time results. Because viscosity reaches its maximum in the lower part of the lower mantle, plume heads rise most slowly in that depth range, and their lateral advection is therefore dominated by the horizontal flow component at those depths. In general, because that flow is dominated by a degree-2 pattern with horizontal flow converging toward the two LLSVPs (Figure 16.6a), the rate at which a plume ascends controls the computed location of its source and specifically its distance from a PGZ: the slower a plume ascends, the farther its original source location must lie from the edge of the nearest LLSVP. The question to be addressed, hence, is whether there is a single ascent time, or a range of times, for which the computed plume source locations match the PGZs comparatively well. This could then be seen as an indication for the realistic time it takes a plume to rise. Obviously, one simplification of this approach is the assumption that, for a given model case, all plumes take the same time to rise through the mantle. In reality, plume heads may have different sizes and hence rise at different speeds through the mantle. Furthermore, a plume head may ascend faster or slower because of rising or sinking ambient mantle flow. A second implicit assumption of this approach is that all plumes should have nucleated along a PGZ (see Appendix 16.1 for method details).

Figure 16.5b shows the computed plume head source locations in the lowermost mantle. Present-day hotspot locations (Figure 16.5a) tend to be near the
Figure 16.6  (a) Schematic representations of present-day sinking slabs (based on fast seismic anomalies; Goes et al. 2016) in the northwest and west Pacific illustrating a slab that has flattened and stagnated (Honshu with a flattened slab length of ~1600 km) and the Marianas slab that directly penetrated the base of the transition zone (660 km). Interpretation of lower mantle slab ages therefore critically depends on their upper mantle history: Black colored sinking ages (in brackets) assume sinking rates of 5 cm/yr in the upper mantle and 1.2 cm/yr in the lower mantle, and approximately represent slabs directly penetrating the transition zone and then sinking slower in the lower mantle. Blue colored slab sinking ages assume constant sinking rates (1.2 cm/yr) for the entire mantle; this is unrealistically low for the upper mantle but can be regarded as a proxy for slabs with a long residence time in the transition zone (tens of million years). This time could be longer if basaltic materials (ROC; recycled oceanic crust) first get incorporated into the thermochemical piles (LLSVPs), before they become entrained into plumes. The ROC (recycled oceanic crust) stockpile, indicated here, and forming the upper parts of the LLSVPs, might be continuously replenished in relatively stagnant regions between plumes, concurrently with erosion near plumes rooted along the LLSVP margins (Torsvik et al. 2016; Trønnes et al. 2019). Some plumes may directly penetrate the lithosphere and in the process create new plate boundaries, but “upside-down
surface-projected PGZs, but occur both inside (over the LLSVP) and outside the boundary itself. Our computed hotspot surface positions through time, in the case with initially vertical plume conduits (Steinberger & O’Connell 1998; Steinberger 2000; Steinberger et al. 2004), tend to remain near the margins, as there is no clear trend, in this case, whether the hotspot moves toward or away from the LLSVPs. This is because the advection of the plume conduit, and hence the hotspot surface motion, is influenced by both flow in the upper half of the mantle, which tends to be away from LLSVPs and the lower half toward LLSVPs. As the initially vertical plume conduits get distorted, the hotspot position initially tends to move with upper-mantle flow. Later on, segments of the tilted conduit buoyantly rise to the surface and thus the motion of older plumes rather tends to be in the direction of lower mantle flow. This is also the case for flow that is not time-dependent, i.e., it is not necessary to have time-dependent flow in order to obtain time-dependent hotspot motion. Hence, for younger plumes, the motion away from LLSVPs tends to dominate and for older ones the motions toward, but because of compensating effects the overall hotspot motion is rather slow – leading to the concept of fixed hotspots (Morgan 1971) – and the total amount of motion is rather small and not preferentially directed toward or away from the LLSVPs. Hence, the modeled initial plume positions required to match present-day hotspot positions, and the initial plume source locations, which in this case are vertically below (red squares in Figure 16.5b), are near the margins at a similar average distance to the PGZs as present-day hotspots.

Because flow in the lowermost mantle is directed toward the LLSVPs, a finite plume head rise time will be associated with a trade-off between that ascent time and the distance between the plume’s source location and an LLSVP margin. The longer a plume head takes to rise to the surface, the further away from the LLSVP margins (and also from their interiors) it must have started in the lowermost mantle in order to reach the surface location observed at present day (near the projected PGZs). This clear trend can be seen both in Figure 16.5b and in Figure 16.6b, which also shows that this trend holds for two tested tomography models, and regardless of whether time-dependence of flow, and the thermochemical excess density of LLSVPs is considered (see Appendix 16.1). It also shows that the slope of the mean distance vs. rise time curve generally gets steeper with increasing rise time. That is, increasing the rise time e.g., from 0 to 30 Myr has less of an effect – the predicted plume source locations tend to remain near the LLSVP margins – than increasing the rise time from e.g., 60 to 90 Myr (Figure 16.6b). Backward-advection of density anomalies leads to increasing stratification of the density field back in time, leading to decreasing flow velocities. Therefore, the average distance increases less strongly with plume head rise time in this case; however, the stronger increase without backward-advection (black and brown lines in Figure 16.6b) may actually be more appropriate. The observed trend, though, holds independent of the uncertain backward-advection. Also, disregarding thermochemical excess densities in LLSVPs corresponds to stronger density anomalies causing stronger flow, hence a stronger dependence of average distance on plume head rise time (violet and blue lines in Figure 16.6b). Another reason that speaks against slow rising of plume heads is that for rise times of ~80 Myr and more, an increasing number of cases occurs where the present-day hotspot position cannot be matched – which also slightly affects the results shown in Figure 16.6b.

Our approach favors that plume heads rise through the mantle in ~30 Myr or less – rather fast compared to what could be inferred from simple geodynamic considerations: Using Stokes formula and a density contrast of 30 kg/m³, which corresponds to realistic values of temperature and thermal expansivity for a thermal plume vs. the surrounding mantle (Steinberger & Antretter 2006), a plume rise time of 60 Myr corresponds to a plume head with a very large diameter of ~1600 km. The head radius inferred from Stokes’ formula is inversely proportional to the square root of rise time; hence a more realistic diameter of 1000 km (Campbell 2007) would correspond to a rise time of more than 150 Myrs, which is incompatible with our results. There are several ways to resolve this apparent.

Figure 16.6 (Continued) drainage” (Sleep 1997) provides an explanation of how LIPs may have erupted into pre-existing rifts or active spreading centres. This is exemplified here by an oceanic LIP that initially may have erupted into an active spreading ridge. (b) Average distances of plume source locations (great-circle distances in km or degrees) from the plume generation zone (PGZ). Apart from the reference case (red line, corresponding to Figure 16.5b), based on s10mean tomographic model (Doubrovine et al. 2016), we also include results with constant (not time-dependent) flow (black line), results without considering that the LLSVPs (TUZO and JASON) are chemically denser (violet line) and results based on the smean (Becker & Boschi 2002) tomography model, both for computing mantle flow and plume sources (see Appendix 16.1), and for defining the PGZ (stippled green, brown, and blue lines corresponding to red, black, and violet lines, respectively). Horizontal lines show the average distance of surface hotspot locations, for comparison, from PGZ’s based on s10mean (black) and smean (stippled blue; ~1% contour).
discrepancy: First, plume heads could be much larger than often assumed, although this would imply much lower melting rates than commonly assumed to explain the volume of flood basalts (Richards et al. 1989), or that melting only occurs in a small part of the plume head. Second, it is plausible that plume heads encounter a lower-than-average ambient mantle viscosity, as they rise through the mantle above the LLSPVs, where the mantle may, on average, be hotter and hence less viscous. They may also be aided by large-scale flow, which tends to be upward above the LLSPVs (Conrad et al. 2013). In addition, if viscosity is stress-dependent, effective mantle viscosity may be reduced in the surroundings of the plume head, further reducing rise times. The inferred rather fast plume ascent speeds contrast with the slabs, which appear to sink only about half as fast as geodynamic models would predict (van der Meer et al. 2010, 2018; Domeier et al. 2016).

Combining plume ascent times of ~30 Myr and slab sinking times ~200 Myr with the time that basaltic material remains near the CMB (also ~30 Myr, if we assume an average horizontal flow speed of ~10 cm/yr, and a typical distance from where slabs reach the lowermost mantle to the PGZs of about 3000 km), we estimate that ~260 Myr must elapse from the subduction of oceanic crustal materials until eruption of recycled crustal components in hotspot lavas, wherein the most time is spent on the way down. This time could be much longer, if basaltic materials get first incorporated into the thermochemical piles (Figure 16.6a), before they become entrained into plumes. Numerical models of Mulyukova et al. (2015b) show that a large fraction of basaltic material is effectively immediately recycled, entrained by plumes, i.e., the age versus frequency of occurrence plot tends to show a maximum at a young age, but there is also a long tail toward older ages, i.e., material can linger near the CMB for a billion years and longer, and there is considerable variability in the age distribution between individual plumes. In contrast, the time from when a slab starts sinking (i.e., a new subduction zone is formed) until a plume rises to the surface in response to the sinking of that slab can also be considerably shorter than the ~260 Myrs estimated for material transport – perhaps only about half as long – as the slab pushes material ahead it and therefore influences the formation of instabilities and plumes long before it has even reached the lowermost mantle (Steinberger & Torsvik 2012).

16.4. Volcanism and Environmental Effects on Various Timescales

We estimate that plumes rise through the mantle in ~30 Myr or less and the surface manifestations are hotspots, kimberlites, and LIPs. In terms of environmental effects, hotspot and subduction related volcanoes can be significant sources of climate-sensitive gases such as halogens, carbon, and sulphur species that can disturb the climate on a wide range of timescales (Jones et al. 2016). While stochastic in nature, global volcanism is fairly constant on a millennial timescale. Large individual eruptions can lead to climate disturbances such as a sulphate aerosol cloud inducing a net cooling of the Earth’s surface (e.g., Robock 2000; Thordarson et al. 2001; Thompson et al. 2009, Toohey et al. 2016), but these impacts are temporally restricted to a few years and are therefore limited as a long-term climate forcing (Schmidt et al. 2012). The radiative effects of volcanic aerosols produced by short-lived explosive hotspot and kimberlite volcanoes generally have relatively small climate effects compared with that of LIPs due to the volumetric and flux differences. In order to further discuss the environmental effects of plume-related volcanism, we start by addressing kimberlite eruptions before moving on to LIPs.

16.4.1. Kimberlites

Hotspot observations are known from present-day examples (e.g., from Hawaii and Iceland), whereas kimberlites eruptions have not been witnessed in modern times. It can be shown that the majority of late Paleozoic-recent kimberlites are related to TUZO (Torsvik et al. 2010a), and clearly linked with the mantle, as they are derived from great depths (>150 km; Wyllie 1980; Mitchell 1986), entraining host rocks from the mantle upward during rapid ascent, as witnessed by the fragmental content of the erupted products (e.g., Jerram et al. 2009). Around 75% of all known Mesozoic-Cenozoic kimberlites are of Cretaceous age (~1100 known pipes), and they have intruded many cratonic areas (North America, Brazil, Australia, east Antarctica and India) – but the vast majority of these (~77%) intruded the Kalahari and Congo cratons in southern Africa at intermediate southerly latitudes (e.g., Figure 16.7a, b).

Kimberlite magmas are almost exclusively associated with old cratons, and the ascent of kimberlites is considered to be anomalously fast, even though they carry substantial mantle-derived payloads, i.e., xenoliths and xenocrysts (including diamonds). The exsolution of dissolved volatiles (primarily CO₂ and H₂O) is considered essential for providing adequate buoyancy for the high ascent rates of dense crystal-rich magmas, as well as the cone-like kimberlite shape caused by explosive eruptions (Russel et al. 2012). Kimberlites provide direct conduits between the deep mantle and the atmosphere. Kimberlite magmas with very high carbonate contents (CO₂ ~20 wt. %) are estimated to rise to the surface in a matter of hours from great depths, and eruption durations are probably
Figure 16.7 (a) Cretaceous (Aptian-Albian) paleomagnetic reconstruction (~115 Ma) with occurrences of kimberlite (large white shaded circles with a central black dot), evaporite (red shaded circles and salt basins in the South Atlantic; Torsvik et al. 2009) and coal (black/white shaded circles). Symbols may represent multiple occurrences (e.g., 213 kimberlite pipes averaging to 118 ± 2 Ma are found within the Kalahari and Congo cratons). For comparison with the areal extent of kimberlite pipes we show the LIP outlines of Paraná-Etendeka (Brazil, Namibia) and Karoo-Ferrar in southern Africa and east Antarctica. Precambrian craton outlines after Gubanov & Mooney (2009). CA, central Atlantic (early opening at around 195 Ma shortly after CAMP erupted); SA, south Atlantic (seafloor spreading south of Walvis Ridge started at ~130 Ma and shortly after Paraná-Etendeka LIP erupted). WSB, West Somalian Basin (early opening at around 170 Ma and soon after Karoo-Ferrar LIP; Gaina et al. 2013). (b) Cretaceous (Cenomanian-Turonian) paleomagnetic reconstruction (~94 Ma) with occurrences of kimberlite (127 kimberlite pipes in Kalahari craton between 89 and 99 Ma).
Oceanic anoxic events (OAEs) witness short interludes (< 1 Myrs) where parts of the seas were markedly exhausted in oxygen (e.g., Jenkyns 2010), and these are often viewed as the Earth’s response to the injection of huge volumes of CO2 into the atmosphere and hydrosphere (e.g., Percival et al. 2015). LIP volcanism has been linked to several OAEs (Section 16.4.2), but peak Phanerozoic kimberlite activity during the Cretaceous (Figure 16.3c,f) clearly overlaps with OAE1 (~121 Ma and ~111 Ma) and OAE2 (~94 Ma), and at times with limited continental LIP activity (Figure 16.7c). At least 850 kimberlites with ages between 80 and 130 Ma are known. Some kimberlite ages are based on Rb-Sr and K-Ar methods, and some are not directly dated but inferred from neighboring kimberlite ages; if we only analyze kimberlites dated by U/Pb (zircon/perovskite) between 80 and 125 Ma, we notice clear age peaks that overlap with OAE1 and OAE2 (Figure 16.7c). The largest concentration of kimberlites in Earth history – mostly in southern Africa and erupted at intermediate southerly latitudes (Figure 16.7a,b) – is therefore temporally coincident with Cretaceous OAEs. The role of kimberlites in triggering OAEs is uncertain as kimberlites are restricted in volume and occurrence, but the eruption of large kimberlite clusters could potentially deliver enough CO2 to the atmosphere to trigger sudden global warming events. Patterson & Don Francis (2013), for example, have estimated that a kimberlite cluster of ~50 pipes could produce about 1000 Gt of carbon in a few years.

16.4.2. Large Igneous Provinces and Global Changes

Continental LIPs are generally defined as predominantly mafic volcanic provinces that were emplaced in a short time period (1–5 Myr) covering at least 100,000 km² with a volume of more than 100,000 km³ (Ernst 2014). They are often characterized by extensive flood basalt sequences commonly termed traps (Svensen et al. 2019) comprising thick lava sequences (Self et al. 1998), by explosive/fragmented volcanic deposits (both from mafic and silicic eruptions; e.g., Bryan et al. 2002), and by sheet-like or plutonic intrusions in sedimentary basins and other crustal settings (e.g., Jerram et al. 2010; Svensen et al. 2018; see examples in Figure 16.2). Clearly such events, driven by upwelling from the mantle below, have impacts on the topography at a regional to continental scale, and on the biosphere and atmosphere, not only due to the sheer volume of igneous material added to the crust but due to volcanic and metamorphic degassing (Figure 16.1a) during the LIP evolution. The potential environmental impact of any LIP is governed by numerous processes, chief among them: the amounts and degree of magmatic degassing, the composition of the crust heated by the igneous plumbing system and the fate of subsequent metamorphic generated gases (crustal storage vs. seepage vs. rapid venting to the atmosphere), the particular state of the climate and tectonic configurations at the time of LIP formation, and the paleolatitude of the emplacement. LIPs themselves can be rather mixed in terms of the relative volumes of intrusions vs. lava flows vs. explosive eruptive products (Bryan et al. 2010; Jerram et al. 2016b). This is why the climatic response to LIP emplacement must have varied through the geological record, with no clear correlation between the estimated volume of a LIP and the severity of the related environmental disturbance (e.g., Wignall 2001; Jones et al. 2016) (Figure 16.3b–e). Volume estimates and rates of LIP emplacement are also challenging to derive due to few field-based volume estimates of individual flows or sills, buried/offshore parts of LIPs (Figure 16.8a) being inaccessible or poorly known, and due to geochronological limitations. Recent estimates from the Karoo-Ferrar LIP, for example, suggest emplacement rates of 0.78 km³/yr, calculated from the present-day sill volume assuming a steady state emplacement over 0.47 Myr (derived using U-Pb zircon ages and Monte Carlo age modeling; Svensen et al. 2012). Other Karoo-Ferrar LIP estimates are based on melt extraction rates from the mantle during a 6 Myr period, giving results of 0.3 km³/yr (Jourdan et al. 2005).

There are five big Phanerozoic mass extinctions. The first one (end-Ordovician) is not linked to any known LIP (Figure 16.3b–e), but three of the big five have been

Figure 16.7 (Continued) evaporite and coal. We also show the plume generation zones (red thick lines), which have been counter-rotated +10° (panel a) and +4° (panel b) around 0°N and 11°E to account for true polar wander at these times. (c) Global distribution of kimberlites (5 Myr bins) but only the most reliable dated kimberlites (U/Pb zircon and perovskite age) between 80 and 125 Ma (N = 172). The majority of the kimberlites are from southern Africa and many statistically overlap with the Cretaceous Oceanic anoxia events OAE1 (subdivided into 1a and 1b) and OAE2. This is also the time of the most widespread occurrences of LIPs (blue and red triangles), mostly oceanic LIPs (blue triangles) except for the small Rajmahal (R) LIP in India, and the partly continental/oceanic High Arctic (HA), Caribbean (CA) and Madagascar (MM) LIPs, which mostly erupted before or after these Cretaceous OAEs events.
Figure 16.8 (a) Reconstruction of the Northeast Atlantic at 55 ± 2 Ma with the distribution of dated onshore and offshore sample locations (red/blue filled circles) for the North Atlantic Igneous Province (NAIP), the location of the Iceland plume (yellow star) with respect to Greenland (Torsvik et al. 2015; Torsvik & Cocks 2017), and rift basins developed from the late Paleozoic to the Paleocene (Faleide et al. 2010). Peak NAIP volcanic activity overlapped with the Paleocene Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) at ~56 Ma. The inset map demonstrates the extensive sill and hydrothermal vent complexes in the Voring Basin offshore Norway (see white box in main map), and location of the Utgard borehole, where magmatic sills intruding organic-rich sediments are dated to 55.6 and 55.3 Ma (U/Pb Zircon; Svensen et al. 2010). (b) Histogram of 383 isotope ages from NAIP (Torsvik et al. 2015), mainly 40Ar/39Ar
linked to LIP emplacement; i.e., the Yakutsk-Vilyui LIP (Devonian Extinction), the Siberian Traps (End-Permian, Figure 16.2g) located in the Siberian Craton and the central Atlantic (CAMP – Triassic-Jurassic Extinction, Figure 16.2f). The fifth mass extinction (Cretaceous-Tertiary Extinction) has also been linked to a LIP in India (Deccan Traps, Figure 16.2c), as highlighted in Figure 16.3 and Table 16.2, but it was likely the combination of the toxicity caused by the Deccan Traps and the effects of an asteroid together that were responsible for the mass extinction (Alvarez et al. 1980). Moreover, LIPs coincide in time with climate change and several OAEs (Figure 16.3c–d), an observation for which numerous authors have assigned a causal relationship. Examples include the Toarcian event (linked to the Karoo-Ferrar LIP) and the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) linked to the Northeast Atlantic Igneous Province (Svensen et al. 2004; Jones et al. 2019; Figure 16.8a,b). PETM is associated with global disturbances in carbon isotopes and dissolution of CaCO3 sediments. The origin of the PETM at around 56 Ma is still debated. In addition to the proposed link to volcanic activity in the northeast Atlantic, explanations for the PETM include methane hydrate dissociation (Dickens et al. 1995), comet impact (Kent 2003), global wildfires (Kurz et al. 2003), or kimberlite eruptions in Canada (Patterson & Francis 2013).

LIPs release massive volumes of greenhouse gases quickly to the atmosphere. These can be in the form of direct volcanic degassing and from gases escaping from intrusions and their contact metamorphosed host rocks (e.g., Svensen et al. 2004, 2009; Heimdal et al. 2018; Ganino & Arndt 2009; Jones et al. 2016). Such rapid degassing can explain how LIPs can trigger global climate change and mass extinctions (Vogt 1972; Courtillot & Renne 2003; Wignall 2001; Bond & Wignall, 2014; Figure 16.3b–d). Voluminous degassing from magmatic and organic-rich metamorphic sources alone could be in excess of 30,000 Gt CO2 for LIPs such as the Siberian Traps (Beerling et al. 2007; Courtillot & Renne 2003; Sobolev et al. 2011; Svensen et al. 2009) and 80,000 Gt CO2 for the CAMP (Heimdal et al. 2018), which, combined with other associated volcanic emissions, would be sufficient to cause sustained global warming on the order of >100,000 years. The eruption of halogens and halocarbons, in particular HCl, HF, and CH3Cl, are predicted to cause widespread ozone depletion and acid rain, damaging global ecosystems (Visscher et al. 2004; Svensen et al. 2009; Black et al. 2012). LIP eruptions may also emit considerable SO2, leading to “volcanic winters” that remain while volcanism is ongoing (Rampino et al. 1988). In the case of LIPs that rise beneath oceanic crust and form submarine basaltic plateaus, the amount of degassing is more limited. They are limited in terms of the production of volatiles through contact metamorphism and/or crustal contamination, and volcanic degassing can be inhibited due to the depth of emplacement. Silicate weathering of LIP products is diminished due to a lack of subaerial exposure, limiting interactions to hydrothermal processes. As such, the environmental impacts of oceanic LIPs appear to be more restricted and less severe than their continental counterparts. Examples of oceanic LIPs include the Ontong Java, Manihiki, and Hikurangi Plateaus (Figure 16.4a), which have been considered as a single vast and voluminous LIP (~50 × 106 km3) – the Ontong Java Nui (Taylor 2006) – which erupted at ~123 Ma.

## 16.5. LIPs AND PALEOGEOGRAPHY: LONG-TERM EFFECTS

On geological timescales, atmospheric CO2 is mainly controlled by plate tectonic forcing, both via sources (volcanic emissions and metamorphic decarbonation in continental arcs) and sinks (silicate weathering and organic and inorganic carbon burial) (Walker et al. 1981; Berner et al. 1983; Marshall et al. 1988; Worsley & Kidder 1991; Bluth & Kump 1991; Otto-Bliesner 1995; Raymo et al. 1988; Gibbs et al. 1999; Berner 2004; Nardin et al. 2011; Goddéris et al. 2012, 2014). The long-term role of LIP generation is twofold. First, it includes a reduction in atmospheric CO2 on long timescales through enhanced silicate weathering. Second, LIPs punctuate plate tectonics by creating new plate boundaries, thereby changing paleogeography and plate kinematics, and thus plate tectonic forcing.

Silicate weathering can be affected by the rate of erosion of material and the chemistry of the substrate. LIP
emplacements can have a large impact on silicate weathering as many of the constituent minerals that comprise basalt are inherently unstable in hydrous, oxygen-rich conditions. For example, basaltic material is of magnitude more weatherable than granitic material (Dessert et al. 2003; Gaillardet et al. 1999). Therefore, the extrusion of continental flood basalts would provide a significant increase in fresh, weatherable substrate that can then consume atmospheric CO2 through silicate weathering and related carbon burial. Erosion rates are intrinsically linked to topography, with juvenile and mountainous regions contributing a disproportionately high dissolved and suspended flux compared to their surface area (Milliman & Farnsworth 2011). If an area affected by a LIP is elevated, either through the emplacement of km-thick flood basalts and/or regional uplift due to thermal buoyancy effects, then the subsequent erosion of that elevated region would considerably enhance atmospheric CO2 drawdown for thousands to millions of years following its “uplift” (Jones et al. 2016). Erosion is also enhanced by deforestation (applicable since Devonian times), which could be extensive during, and for some time following, the emplacement of a LIP.

Sulphuric acid is also a key component of chemical weathering, as evidenced by numerous modern catchments (e.g., Liu et al. 2016; Spence & Telmer 2005). This increases the total weathering of both carbonate and silicate materials, but also replaces some of the weathering done by carbonic acid. This means that if large fluxes of SO2 are emitted to the atmosphere, then sulphuric acid weathering may either diminish the efficiency of silicate weathering as an atmospheric CO2 sink, and/or may increase the total weathering of surface silicates. These processes are poorly quantified at present, but are worthy of consideration as the range of volatiles produced by LIP emplacements could have a wide range of both positive and negative feedbacks affecting the long-term carbon cycle.

16.5.1. Plate Tectonic Configurations and Their Modifications by LIPs

The early Phanerozoic is exceptional because nearly all the continents were located in the southern hemisphere and the latitudinal distribution is readily seen from a heat map (Figure 16.9a), which shows how the continental paleolatitude has changed through the Phanerozoic. A key observation to be taken from this heat map (but also evident from a curve showing the average continental paleolatitude through time; Figure 16.9b) is that the continents were effectively restricted to the Southern Hemisphere during the early and middle Paleozoic, but progressively drifted northward in the late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic until the break-up of Pangea at ~195 Ma (early Jurassic). From then on, the average paleolatitude of the continents has remained largely stable while the latitudinal spread continued to increase substantially (i.e., compare the latitudinal spread of light areas of the heat map between times before and after Pangea; Figure 16.9a).

The Paleozoic paleogeography was dominated by Gondwana, which amalgamated during Ediacaran-Cambrian times, and stretched from the South Pole to the Equator (western Australia) during most of the Paleozoic (Figure 16.10d). An important ocean (the Iapetus) largely closed during the Ordovician and disappeared entirely during the Silurian (430-420 Ma) when Baltic and Avalonia collided with Laurentia to form Laurussia. Another significant ocean (the Rheic) opened at ~480 Ma (early Ordovician) between Gondwana and the Avalonia terranes and remained an important ocean until ~320 Ma when Gondwana, Laurussia and intervening terranes collided to form Pangea (Torsvik & Trench 1992; Torsvik & Rehnström 2003; Cocks & Torsvik 2002; Domeier & Torsvik 2014; Domeier 2015; Torsvik & Cocks 2004, 2017). Some tectonic blocks were never attached to Pangea, and thus, the term Paleoethys is used for the ocean once separating the China blocks from Pangea (Figure 16.10c). The Panthalassic Ocean (the predecessor of the Pacific Ocean) is best known as the vast ocean that once surrounded the supercontinent Pangea, but Panthalassic also refers to the ocean that dominated more than half the globe (effectively the entire Northern Hemisphere) in the early Paleozoic (Figure 16.10d).

The distribution of continents and oceans varied immensely before Pangea and its break-up, which mostly occurred along former sutures (Buiter & Torsvik 2014) and was clearly assisted by extensive LIP volcanism. Early Pangea break-up was witnessed by the opening of the Neoethys Ocean (Figure 16.11), starting already at ~275 Ma, which was possibly linked to a Tethyan plume that sourced the ~285 Ma Panjal Traps in the western Himalaya (Shellnutt et al. 2011) and igneous remnants now preserved in Oman (Arabia). There is an exhaustive list of examples of how LIP activity can change the surface paleogeography by creating and modifying plate boundaries (Torsvik & Cocks 2013; Buiter & Torsvik 2013; Svensen et al. 2018); this as best exemplified among the Atlantic bordering continents: The opening of the central Atlantic at ~195 Ma (Labails et al. 2010; Gaina et al. 2013) led to the definite break between north and south Pangea and was preceded by the emplacement of the CAMP (201 Ma; Figure 16.11b). The Paraná-Etendeka (134 Ma) heralded the early opening of the south Atlantic (~130 Ma) south of the Walvis ridge (Figure 16.7a), and finally, the NAIP assisted the opening of the northeast Atlantic (~54 Ma). NAIP activity started around
Figure 16.9 Phanerozoic timescale with greenhouse (hot) versus icehouse (cold) conditions and (a) Continental paleolatitude through the Phanerozoic, according to continental polygons and total reconstruction poles taken from Torsvik et al. (2014). Only continental blocks that are defined (both as a polygon and by rotation history) through the entire 541 to 0 Ma interval are used, which amounts to a constant area of ~27% of Earth’s surface. The distribution of continental paleolatitudes was calculated in 10 Myr steps across the Phanerozoic, wherein for each step the relative area in each 1° latitudinal band (90° S to 90° N) was determined and normalized such that the band with the largest absolute area was set equal to 1. The heat map shows how the latitudinal
62 Ma (linked to the Iceland plume), and covered vast areas in Baffin Island, Greenland, the United Kingdom, Ireland, the Faroe Islands, and offshore regions, but peak volcanic activity probably occurred at around 56 Ma (coinciding with the PETM event; Figure 16.8a,b).

Not all LIPs cause or contribute to changes in plate boundaries (e.g., Kalkarindji, Skagerrak Centred LIP, Siberian Traps; Figures 16.10c–d, Figure 16.11a), but many conjugate margins are linked to LIPs with break-up occurring almost concurrent with LIP emplacement. In many margins rifting began before the main phase of volcanism, which suggests that rifting was initiated by tectonic forces and that mantle plume material was guided toward the thinned rifted lithosphere to help trigger final continental break-up (Buiter & Torsvik 2013). Continental break-up has fragmented many LIPs shortly after emplacement, with LIP rocks now located on opposed passive margins. Prime examples include CAMP (now mostly located on North America, Northwest Africa, and South America; Figures 16.4a, 16.10a,b), Paraná- Etendeka (Brazil and Namibia: Figures 16.4a, 16.7a,b), NAIP (Greenland/North America and Eurasian margin; Figure 16.8a), Karoo-Ferrar (South Africa and East Antarctica; Figures 16.4a, 16.7a,b) and Deccan (India and Seychelles). The coastal onshore parts of passive margins may have formed mountainous escarpments of variable height during continental break-up, thus affecting weathering processes. But it is highly disputed whether passive margin uplift is a transient, long-lived, or even permanent phenomenon, as many passive continental margins today (and long after initial break-up) are characterized by elevated plateaux of several kilometers height (e.g., Japsen et al. 2011; Osmundsen & Redfield 2011; Redfield & Osmundsen 2012; Steinberger et al. 2015).

Plumes impinging the oceanic lithosphere also influence the plate tectonic configuration, e.g., by relocating plate boundaries (ridge jumps) and generating micro-continentals, or making entirely new plates such as with the eruption of the ~123 Ma Ontong Java Nui “mega-LIP” (Ontong Java, Manihiki & Hikurangi Plateaus), which probably led to the break-up of the Phoenix Plate into four new plates at ~120 Ma (Chandler et al. 2010; Seton et al. 2012; Torsvik et al. 2019). The oldest known in-situ oceanic LIP is late Jurassic in age, but most preserved oceanic LIPs were emplaced during the Cretaceous (Figure 16.3d; Table 16.2). The total number of oceanic LIPs will remain unknown as the oceanic crust and the LIPs embedded within it can be recycled back into the Earth’s mantle via subduction (e.g., Liu et al. 2010; Sigloch & Mihalynuk 2013). Examples of LIPs being recycled today include the ongoing subduction of Ontong Java and Hikurangi Plateau.

16.5.2. Paleoclimate and Atmospheric CO₂

The Phanerozoic was dominated by a greenhouse climate with high atmospheric CO₂ levels (Figure 16.12e), interrupted by three main periods of cold (icehouse) conditions, in the End-Ordovician (Hirnantian), Permocarboniferous, and the second half of the Cenozoic. Low atmospheric CO₂ is a principal variable in controlling continental-scale glaciations (Royer 2006), but the short-lived Hirnantian cooling event (~445 Ma) is paradoxical because of its apparent association with very high
Figure 16.10 Reconstructed tropical belts at (a) 30 Ma; (b) 60 Ma; and (c) 300 Ma along with occurrences of evaporite and coal, tentative outlines (yellow lines) of tropical climate, and the outlines of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP), Deccan Traps, Afar (panels a, b), and the Skagerrak Centred LIP (SCLIP, panel c). We also indicate the areas of flooded land. (d) Earth geography in the Cambrian (~510 Ma) with restored occurrences of evaporites and the outline of the Kalkarindji LIP. All reconstructions in a paleomagnetic frame and in panel d we also show the PGZs which have been counter-rotated (~49° around 0°N and 11°E) to account for estimated true polar wander at this time. A, Anamnia (Indo-China); NC, North China; SC, South China. Source: (a-c) Torsvik & Cocks 2017; Boucot et al. 2013, (d) Torsvik et al. 2014, Torsvik & Cocks 2017.
Figure 16.11 (a) End-Permian paleomagnetic reconstruction (252 Ma) and occurrences of evaporite (red shaded circles) and coal (black/white shaded circles), tentative climate-zone boundaries (green stippled lines) and outline of the areas affected by the Variscan and Alleghanian orogenies (dark shading) during Pangea formation. We also show the extent of the Siberian Traps (linked to mass extinction #3) but also the location of the Altay-Sayan (A), Emeishan (E), Skagerrak Centred LIP (S) and the Yakutsk (Y, linked to mass extinction #2) LIPs at this time. NC, North China. (b) Rhetian paleomagnetic reconstruction (205–201 Ma) with occurrences of evaporite (red shaded circles).
modeled atmospheric CO₂ levels. However, the solar luminosity was lower (by 3–5%) and the CO₂ threshold for nucleating ice sheets at that time could have been four to eight times (1120–2240 ppm) higher than pre-industrial levels of ~280 ppm (Gibbs et al. 2000; Herrmann et al. 2003; Royer 2006; Lowry et al. 2014).

Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations can be estimated from proxies, and the most robust proxies include δ¹³C of paleosols, stomatal densities, and indices in plants, δ¹³C of long-chained alkenones in haptophytic algae, δ¹¹B of marine carbonate and δ¹³C of liverworts (Royer 2006). The averaging of these proxy systems in 10 Myr bins (Figure 16.12e) suggests that atmospheric CO₂ values were high between 420 and 400 Ma (~2000 ppm), followed by a pronounced decline to about 500 ppm at ~340 Ma. This shift was probably greatly enhanced by the origin and expansion of forests in the Devonian (Berner 1997) – leading to a situation favoring glacial conditions – but continental arc-activity and plate tectonic degassing (sourcing) were also reduced during late Devonian-Carboniferous times (Figure 16.12d; Section 16.5.4). Pangaea assembly also culminated with the Alleghenian-Variscan Orogeny, which resulted in a low-latitude (equatorial) orogenic belt of ~7500 km in length across the heart of Pangaea (Figure 16.11a), and that may also have accelerated weathering and removed more CO₂ out of the atmosphere. It has also been argued that arc-continent collisions contributed to cooling through exhumation and erosion of mafic and ultramafic rocks in the warm, wet tropics during the Permo-Carboniferous (Macdonald et al. 2019).

During most of the Carboniferous and Permian, CO₂ proxies average about 500 ppm, but with a sharp increase in the early Triassic (between 240 and 230 Ma) to values of more than 2000 ppm, followed by a decline to values near 1000 ppm by the early Jurassic (Figure 16.12c). Through the rest of the Mesozoic and into the Cenozoic the atmospheric CO₂ fluctuated around 1000 ppm (peaking in the Aptian), followed by a decline to near pre-industrial levels in recent times. Cenozoic glaciations are recorded in Antarctica at ~34 Ma (e.g., Kennett 1977; Zachos et al. 2001; Katz et al. 2008), but it was during the Pliocene at ~2.7 Ma that the first phase of the Plio-Pleistocene glaciations started (Janssen et al. 2000; Thiede et al. 2011; Bailey et al. 2013; De Schepper et al. 2014; Bierman et al. 2014). A pronounced decline in atmospheric CO₂ played a central role in preconditioning the climate for the Plio-Pleistocene glaciation (Figure 16.12c).

The Earth’s atmosphere circulates as three cells in each hemisphere, i.e., between the intertropical convergence zone (near the Equator) and the subtropical highs at ~30 °N/S (Hadley cells), between 30 °N/S and ~40°–60 °N/S, depending on the season (Ferrell cells), and the Polar cells above ~40°–60 °N/S (see Hay et al. 2018). On geological timescales it has commonly been assumed that climate gradients have remained broadly similar to today, with a stable Hadley circulation, and even on an Earth free of polar ice the subtropical highs should be close to where they are today (~30 °N/S). Past climate gradients can be assessed from paleogeographic biome maps. Coals (since the early Devonian) are commonly confined to near the Equator (humid conditions) or the northerly/southerly wet belts and indicate a prolonged excess of precipitation over evaporation. Conversely, evaporites are mostly found in the subtropics at mean latitudes of 33 °N/S) for the past 50 Myrs (Figure 16.9d) – but mean evaporite latitudes become strikingly lower back in time (Torsvik & Cocks 2017). This is witnessed by absolute mean values of ~24° stretching from the early Paleocene back to Devonian times – except for a pronounced minimum (17 ± 9°) in the Triassic – and then a second shift to even lower mean latitudes before 400 Ma. Thus, the tropics appear increasingly more arid back in time (Figure 16.9d). This is perhaps unexpected, given that the Hadley Cell is currently expanding (e.g., Northern Hemisphere desert zones spreading and migrating northward; DeMeo 1989) with global warming, but there are suggestions that Hadley circulation shrank to ~20 °N/S latitude during the middle Cretaceous super-greenhouse (Aptian through early Coniacian; Hasegawa et al. 2012; Hay et al. 2018). Lower mean evaporite latitudes of the past may partly reflect the slowing down of Earth: A faster-spinning Earth displaces the subtropics equatorward and Christiansen and Stouge (1999) have estimated

Figure 16.11 (Continued) and coal (black/white shaded circles), and tentative outlines of climate gradients. We also show the possible original extent of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (linked to mass extinction #4) at around 201 Ma (red lines). The estimated plume center is near the southern tip of Florida. The reconstruction is compared with a Rhetian GEOCLIM simulation (Goddéris et al. 2014) with estimated CO₂ consumption from silicate weathering. The continental distribution (defined by the colored and gray regions of continental weatherability) broadly matches our reconstruction (black lines). CO₂ consumption in the tropical parts of central Pangaea is typically calculated to 10–20’ 10¹⁰ mol CO₂/yr while the warm temperate belts range between 1.4–1.8’ 10¹⁰ mol CO₂/yr. South China in the simulation is located near the Equator in the Neotethys – with CO₂ consumption estimates peaking at 40’ 10¹⁰ mol CO₂/yr.
Figure 16.12 (a) Phanerozoic timescale with greenhouse (hot) versus icehouse (cold) conditions. (b) LIPs where Kalkarindji, Skagerrak Centred LIP (SCLIP), Emeishan (E), Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP), Deccan and Afar LIPs were emplaced at low latitudes (ST, Siberian Traps). Also indicated are the two kimberlite frequency peaks between 110–120 and 80–90 Ma (see Figure 16.3f). (c) Relative CO₂ degassing (red curve, Mills et al. 2018) based on a combination of subduction [from seismic tomography for the past 200 Myrs (van der Meer et al. 2014) and a plate model before that time (Mills et al. 2017)] and continental rift lengths (Brune et al. 2017). Mills et al. (2018) assumed 37% (63%) of tectonic CO₂ contribution from continental rifts (ridges and arcs) and assumed constant rift input before 200 Ma. We also show relative degassing based on the global subduction flux (black curve) back to 400 Ma based on full-plate models (see Table 16.3 for details), and the standard GEOCARBSULF curve ($f_{SR}$) back to 541 Ma (gray curve; Royer et al. 2014). (d) Age frequency of detrital zircons from arc environments (frequency lows indicated with red ellipses) and subduction flux (black line; Table 16.3). Globally, the main peaks in the detrital
that the subtropical high was displaced 5° equatorward in Ordovician times (see also Torsvik & Rehnström 2002).

16.5.3. Paleolatitude of LIP Emplacement

Low continental latitudes (and their associated warm and wet climates) promote CO₂ consumption by silicate weathering, and are theoretically associated with low CO₂ periods (Goddéris et al. 2014). Enhanced silicate weathering due to LIP emplacement is dependent on many factors, including relief, the area of subaerial exposure, and the latitudinal location of the LIP. Six major Phanerozoic continental LIPs (i.e., Kalkarindji, SCLIP, Emeishan, CAMP, Deccan and Afar) were emplaced within present-day tropical latitudes (Figure 16.3d), although the wet belts may have been narrower or even absent in the past. With the exception of the Emeishan LIP (Jerram et al. 2016a), they were sourced from the margins of TUZO (Figure 16.4b). There are, of course, also continental Precambrian LIPs (Figure 16.4a) undergoing weathering today but their areal extent today is less than 10% of the total preserved LIP areas, and exposed basalt substrates become significantly less weatherable with time (Porder et al. 2007).

Theoretical silicate weatherability and cooling have been explored by estimating the average continental paleolatitude or average absolute paleolatitude weighted by area (i.e., north and south values collapsed together; Figure 16.9a, b), but a better approach is to calculate the fraction of the total continental area that is located in the tropics (currently around ± 23.5°; present axial tilt of the Earth), i.e., subjected to potentially warm and wet climates with high weatherability (Figure 16.9c). We notice, however, peaks during both the end-Ordovician glaciation (~50%) and the Triassic-Jurassic greenhouse world (40%). Due to substantial changes in global sea level (peaking in Ordovician and Cretaceous times, and perhaps 200 m higher sea level than present day; Haq & Al-Quhtani 2005; Haq & Shutter 2008) and continental flooding, the effective weatherability at tropical latitudes would peak in the late Triassic, at a time with no evidence for ice. This may suggest, to a first order, that enhanced silicate weathering in the tropics is not fundamentally important in triggering glaciations, but one should keep in mind that the equatorial region of Pangea was dominated by arid conditions in the late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic.

Evaporite localities within a single continent can certainly reflect seasonal and local conditions rather than global climatic conditions, but there is ample evidence that Laurentia, Siberia, north and south China and western Australia defined an extensive, arid, low-latitude evaporite belt in the early Paleozoic (Figure 16.10d). In the Cambrian, Gondwana stretched from the South Pole (northwest Africa) to the Equator and the ~510 Ma Kalkarindji LIP (Figure 16.4a) – sourced from the northeast margin of TUZO (Figure 16.4b) – was emplaced over a vast tropical area (>2 million km²) in western Australia. However, the widespread evaporite occurrences in western Australia suggest low weatherability during the late Cambrian and most of the Ordovician. Western Australia, however, appears less arid in late Ordovician and early Silurian times (Torsvik & Cocks 2017), which may have resulted in higher weatherability in the low-latitude regions of Gondwana. The end-Ordovician (Hirnantian glaciation) was followed by widespread extinctions near the Ordovician-Silurian boundary (~445 Ma), the first of the “Big Five” Phanerozoic extinctions, which is not temporally linked to any known LIP.

The second low-latitude Phanerozoic LIP is the ~300 Ma Skagerrak centered LIP (SCLIP; Torsvik et al. 2008), perhaps affecting an area of ~500,000 km² in Scandinavia, Scotland, and northern Germany (Figure 16.4a). SCLIP was sourced by a plume from the northeast margin of TUZO (Figure 16.4b) and erupted at low latitudes when central Pangea – including North America and Europe – was located near the Equator (Figure 16.10c) and covered by humid tropical rainforest. Latitudinal
temperature gradients during the early phase of the Permo-Carboniferous icehouse (~330–275 Ma) were much higher than during the earlier greenhouse periods and perhaps not dissimilar to the present day (Torsvik & Cocks 2017). As the climate aridified, the rain forests collapsed and were eventually replaced by seasonally dry biomes in the early Permian (Sahney et al. 2010). The areas that were affected by SCLIP aridified quickly during the Permian, thus weakening the silicate weathering efficiency, and extensive salt basins (Zechstein) covered northwest Europe in late Permian–Triassic times (Figure 16.10a). The middle–late Permian was dominated by an expansive arid region that extended across much of Pangea, spanning both northern and southern latitudes, and crossing both the Americas and Europe (Boucot et al. 2013; Torsvik & Cocks 2017). Low global climatic gradients and a low latitude arid region traversing most of central Pangea broadly characterized the Triassic and Jurassic, but the arid realm shifted southward as Pangea moved systematically northward until the middle Jurassic (Figure 16.9a), when the opening of the central Atlantic initiated the break-up of the supercontinent. Interestingly, from the early Devonian (~400 Ma) to the early Jurassic (~190 Ma), the latitudinal component of the continental center of mass (with respect to the spin axis) has drifted slowly northward (Figure 16.9a) with approximately the same velocity (0.44°/Ma or ~50 km/Ma) – and was seemingly unaffected by the formation of Pangea.

The next low-latitude LIP, the Emeishan LIP (ELIP), erupted ~260 Ma (Jerram et al. 2016a) and a tropical humid condition at eruption time is evidenced by shallow marine carbonates and widespread coal-measures derived from marine mangrove-like plants (Shao et al. 1998). Most of the Emeishan lavas, however, were emplaced at or below sea level, and terrestrial lava flows only developed in the later stages (Ukstins Peate & Bryan 2008; Jerram et al. 2016a). South China drifted northward after the ELIP eruptions; it aridified during the early Triassic (Figure 16.11a), and since the mid-Triassic it has essentially remained in the northern temperate zone. Early Triassic evaporites in South China are clearly anomalous (Boucot et al. 2013) as most of the low latitude continental blocks and terranes bordering the Panthalassic Ocean during late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic times are characterized by coal deposits, suggesting tropical conditions (e.g., Figures 16.10c, 16.11a).

High atmospheric CO₂ levels (~2000 ppm) are indicated from the proxy record from about 230 Ma, declining to ~1000 ppm by about 190 Ma (early Jurassic) (Figure 16.12c). The 201 Ma CAMP was emplaced during this greenhouse period, at low latitudes (Figure 16.3d), and it covered a vast area (Figure 16.11b), perhaps more than 10 million km². Its emplacement in tropical latitudes should theoretically have led to extensive post-emplacement silicate weathering and reduced CO₂ levels (cooling). However, biome maps suggest that the equatorial region of Pangea was dominated by an arid or at least seasonally dry environment at end-Triassic times, with limited evidence for tropical conditions (e.g., coal is found in the southernmost Newark Basin; Smoot 1991) (Figure 16.11b). The end-Triassic and early Jurassic climate was characterized by low climate gradients with a Pangean low-latitude arid region in southernmost North America, north Africa, and South America, except a humid realm facing the Panthalassic Ocean along the west coast of central Pangea (Figure 16.11b). As with all LIPs, it is difficult to determine the timing or the rate of weathering. In contrast to the biome maps, which suggest extensive low-latitude arid regions in central Pangea, many argue that huge amounts of the CAMP were removed by chemical weathering within a few million years. This is based on observed trends in seawater Sr- and Os-isotope compositions (Cohen & Coe 2007), and carbon-cycle variations in the Newark Basin (Figure 16.8c; see below).

The ~66 Ma Deccan Traps, with an estimated subaerial extent of ~1.8 × 10⁶ km², were emplaced at low southerly latitudes (centered on ~20°S) in tropical humid conditions (widespread coal-measures) and subsequently drifted across the Equator to ~20°N (Figure 16.3d) while India also collided with Asia in the process to produce the Himalayan orogeny. Finally, Afar was emplaced at low latitudes at 31 Ma (Figure 16.3d), and the combined weathering (cooling) effects of the Afar, Deccan and remnants of CAMP (notably in western Africa and northern South America) may have decreased CO₂ levels in the late Cenozoic (Figure 16.10a). However, declining late Cenozoic CO₂ levels can also be attributed to accelerated continental weathering due to Himalayan mountain building (Raymo et al. 1988) and/or increased weathering due to tropical ophiolite obduction over the past 30 Myrs (Macdonald et al. 2019).

Elevated silicate weathering associated with the weathering of LIP lavas has been argued for both CAMP and the Deccan Traps. Schaller et al. (2011) found that pre-CAMP CO₂ background values of ~2000 ppm approximately doubled in response to magmatic activity but decreased toward pre-CAMP levels in just a few hundred thousand years after each magmatic episode (Figure 16.8c), which they interpreted to reflect rapid weathering of fresh CAMP volcanics. Similarly, Dessert et al. (2001) modeled an increase in atmospheric CO₂ levels by ~1000 ppm for Deccan, which subsequently was reduced to pre-Deccan levels in about one Myr. Such short-time perturbations are not recognized in the long-term GEOCARBSULF carbon and sulphur cycle model, which estimates atmospheric CO₂ levels in 10 Myr intervals (Royer et al. 2014).
CO₂ proxies in Figure 16.12e are averaged in 10 Myrs bins and there are two notable CO₂ peaks at 250 Ma (N = 5 proxies) and 200 Ma (N = 105) that may reflect the influence of the Siberian Traps and the CAMP, respectively. But the most pronounced increase in long-term atmospheric CO₂ is observed between 240 and 230 Ma (early Triassic). Johansson et al. (2017) argued for a strong correlation between the weathering of CAMP and CO₂ proxies from 200 Ma to 100 Ma, but there is no systematic decrease in CO₂ (cooling) between 190 and 100 Ma (i.e., proxy values, except 160 Ma, statistically overlap at the 95% confidence level; Figure 16.12e). We therefore do not see any clear statistical relationship between CAMP — presumably one of the largest LIPs in Earth history — and very long-term (>10 million years) weathering and potential CO₂ drawdown and cooling.

16.5.4. GEOCARBSULF: Proxy-Model CO₂ Mismatches

The proxy-record and atmospheric CO₂ modeled with GEOCARBSULF fits well for the late Paleozoic (correlation coefficient = 0.96; 400–260 Ma) but modeled CO₂ levels from about 200 Ma to 30 Ma (Figure 16.12e; black curve with gray confidence envelope) are consistently lower than the proxy record (Royer et al. 2014), and thus the correlation coefficient calculated for the past 400 Myrs is low (∼0.5). Mismatches can partly be reduced by increased seafloor production rates — an important time-dependent plate tectonic degassing parameter (f_{SR}) in the GEOCARBSULF model. Variations in seafloor production (e.g., Coltice et al. 2013) can be calculated with sufficient confidence for the last 83 Myrs (after the Cretaceous Normal Superchron) from oceanic lithospheric age-grids estimated from marine magnetic anomalies. Before that time this approach has much larger uncertainties, but because the subduction flux must equal the seafloor production rate (to first order), we can use estimates of the subduction flux derived from full-plate models as a proxy for plate tectonic degassing. van der Meer et al. (2014) pursued an even simpler approach: assuming a constant average rate of convergence for subduction zones, globally (specifically 6 cm/yr, the present-day average; Schellart et al. 2007), they used normalized subduction lengths through time (estimated back to 235 Ma from seismic tomography) as a proxy for plate tectonic degassing (f_{SR}). This approach was also used by Mills et al. (2018), where they used subduction lengths for the past 200 Myr from van der Meer et al. (2014), extended backward with subduction lengths estimated from a plate model (Mills et al. 2017), but also combined with normalized rift lengths (Brune et al. 2017), assuming that degassing from continental rifts is about one third of that from ridges and arcs. The combined forcing from CO₂ degassing generally yields higher relative degassing than the standard GEOCARBSULF curve (Figure 16.12e), but enhanced relative forcing is inadequate to significantly reduce proxy-model discrepancies between 200 and 30 Ma (Mills et al. 2018).

The use of subduction lengths as a proxy for the subduction flux requires that the average rate of subduction remains constant through time, but Mesozoic-Cenozoic full-plate models (e.g., Torsvik et al. 2010b; Seton et al. 2012; Matthews et al. 2016) show that high subduction fluxes are often linked to higher average subduction rates rather than to longer global subduction lengths (Domeier & Torsvik 2017; Hounslow et al. 2018). Zircons track past continental arc systems since zircon-fertile rocks are primarily produced along continental subduction zones. From analyses of zircon ages in the context of full-plate tectonic models (back to 410 Ma), we observe that subduction fluxes provide a stronger statistical correlation to zircon age frequency distributions than subduction lengths do, implying that convergence rates play a significant role in regulating the volume of melting in subduction-related magmatic systems (Domeier et al. 2018). Zircon age peaks correspond well to intervals of high subduction flux with a ∼15 Ma time lag (zircons trailing subduction), and icehouse conditions are associated with lows in zircon age frequency (Figure 16.12d). Continental arc-activity has been argued to have played an important role in regulating long-term climate changes (McKenzie et al. 2016), i.e., high continental arc activity is linked to warm climate (greenhouses) and reduced arc activity explains icehouse climates. But only the Permian-Carboniferous icehouse shows a clear relation to the zircon record, the end-Cenozoic icehouse occurs long after a major reduction in zircon-age frequencies (starting in the late Cretaceous), and the end-Ordovician Hirnantian icehouse was followed by ∼120 Myrs of low zircon age frequencies when the climate was generally warm, although there are some rocks of glacial origin in the latest Devonian and earliest Carboniferous of South America (Torsvik & Cocks 2017). A minimum in zircon age frequencies is also noticed in Triassic–Jurassic times during greenhouse conditions. The continental arc magmatic hypothesis (McKenzie et al. 2016), attempting to explain all icehouses by reduced continental arc activity, is partly founded on the idea that arc-related magmatism along continental-margin subduction zones may have contributed three to five times more CO₂ to the atmosphere than island arcs because of decarbonation of the continental crust of the overriding plate (e.g., Lee et al. 2013; Lee & Lackey 2015; Cao et al. 2017).

Modeling atmospheric CO₂ levels for the past 400 Myrs using normalized subduction flux (Table 16.3) as a time-variable input for f_{SR} in the GEOCARBSULF model reduces model-proxy mismatches in the middle Triassic and early Cretaceous (blue curve in Figure 16.12e). But
Table 16.3 Estimated subduction flux for the past 400 Myrs that we use to define the time-dependent (normalized) \( f_{\text{SR}} \) parameter (i.e., 1.00 = today) in the GEOCARBSULF model (Figure 16.12c). Subduction flux calculated dynamically from the Paleozoic full-plate model of Domeier & Torsvik (2014) and a revised Mesozoic–Cenozoic full-plate model (250–0 Ma; Torsvik et al. 2019), which is originally based on Matthews et al. (2016). Using the latest GEOCARBSULF model (Royer et al. 2014) but with our revised estimates for plate tectonic degassing \( f_{\text{SR}} \) we have modeled the atmospheric CO2 for the past 400 Myrs with two different weathering models (WM). The GEOCARB R code was ran with the resampleN parameter set to 1 and the two different weathering models are selected by the Godderis parameter set to TRUE (WM-1; Godderis et al. 2012) or FALSE (WM-2; Berner 2006). The model code and original input files from Royer et al. (2014) are available at: https://figshare.com/articles/code_to_run_GEOCARBSULF_model/902207

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Subduction flux (km²/year)</th>
<th>( f_{\text{SR}} )</th>
<th>CO₂ (ppm) WM-1</th>
<th>CO₂ (ppm) WM-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3825</td>
<td>2680.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>1331.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>1551.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1621</td>
<td>1539.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>1398.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>415.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>545.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>407.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>393.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>370.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>368.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>418.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>410.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>332.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>362.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>565.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>517.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1436</td>
<td>445.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>318.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>437.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>354.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>388.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>388.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>294.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>339.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>454.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>852.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>1191.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>631.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>652.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>486.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>659.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>540.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>578.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>477.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>279.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>246.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>265.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>263.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>266.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>243.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
large offsets, averaging to 510 ± 458 ppm for the past 400 Myrs, still exist and a distinct peak at 250 Ma (~1900 ppm) is at odds with the proxy record. This modeling peak is coeval with a pronounced zircon age peak, and is close to the smallest of three peaks in the input subduction flux curve (~360, 260, and 130 Ma), but it is apparently controlled by the strength of the silicate weathering feedback. GEOCARBSULF has been revised several times, and an important change in the current version (Royer et al. 2014) was the inclusion of new weathering-related inputs based on coupled climate and carbon cycle models (Goddéris et al. 2012, 2014). These time-dependent inputs included the land mean surface temperature (GEOG), land area ($f_A$), fraction of land area undergoing chemical weathering ($f_{AW}/f_A$), and global river runoff ($f_R$). Interestingly, if we revert to the original GEOCARBSULF inputs for these parameters (Berner 2006), modeled CO$_2$ (red curve in Figure 16.12e) correlates better with proxy data at 250 Ma and the Cretaceous–early Paleogene, and the magnitude of the modeled carbon CO$_2$ spikes correlate more linearly to the subduction flux input curve (Figure 16.12d,e). Using the original weathering parameters of Berner (2006), however, results in uniformly low modeled CO$_2$ levels (around 500 ppm) during the early Mesozoic (240–170 Ma) and therefore does not capture the much higher CO$_2$ greenhouse levels suggested from proxies. Average model-proxy mismatches since the early Devonian are somewhat reduced (415 ppm) using the old weathering parameters, but the correlation coefficient is lower (0.35) and statistically insignificant.

Runoff is a first-order controlling factor of silicate weathering, and the global runoff model of Berner (1994; 2006) was based on an assumed correlation between temperature and runoff, modulated by a continental factor that accounted for the effect of paleogeography. In the current GEOCARBSULF version (Royer et al. 2014), Phanerozoic silicate weathering parameterizations are derived from 3D climate and carbon-cycle GEOCLIM simulations (Goddéris et al. 2014). The only forcing functions in these simulations are the energy input from the Sun (dimmer in the past) and paleogeography taken from many sources (Vrielynck & Bouysse 2003; Blakey 2003, 2008; Sewall et al. 2007; Herold et al. 2008), but we note that these are much generalized and sometime outdated. As an example, we compare our end-Triassic paleogeography (Torsvik & Cocks 2017) with that used in the Goddéris et al. (2014) simulations, and at a time when both weathering models yield much lower modeled CO$_2$ than proxy values (Figure 16.12e). The bulk of Pangea matches our reconstruction, but the location of South China is very different; it should be centered on 35°N and not near the Equator as in the GEOCLIM simulation (Figure 16.11a). Because it was probably incorrectly located in the humid tropical area (as in the late Paleozoic; Figure 16.11a), south China accounted for ~17% of the global CO$_2$ consumption by silicate weathering at this time. If this added cooling effect is corrected, modeled CO$_2$ would increase significantly (~500 ppm; Yves Goddéris, pers. comm. 2019) and much better match the late Triassic to early Jurassic proxies (Figure 16.12e). This single example stresses the importance of accurate paleogeography because it is a prime factor controlling runoff and therefore silicate weathering (Goddéris et al. 2014).

16.6. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND CHALLENGES

The connections between the Earth’s interior and its surface are manifold, and defined by processes of material transfer: from the deep Earth to lithosphere, through the crust and into the interconnected systems of the atmosphere-hydrosphere-biosphere, and back again. One of the most spectacular surface expressions of such a process, with origins extending into the deep mantle, is the emplacement of LIPs, which have led to rapid climate changes and mass extinctions, but also to moments of transformation with respect to Earth’s evolving paleogeography. But equally critical are those processes that involve material fluxes going the other way—as best exemplified by subduction, a key driving force behind plate tectonics, but also a key driver for long-term climate evolution through arc volcanism and degassing of CO$_2$. Below we summarize some of the key aspects among the complex systems and processes that we have addressed.

• Plumes are sourced from specific regions in the deep Earth and mostly from the edges of two quasi-stable thermochemical piles (TUZO and JASON) and they travel from the core–mantle boundary to the base of the lithosphere in about 30 Myrs or less, if the spatial correlation between plume source and the margins of the piles is to be maintained.
• Plumes take us to sub-lithospheric levels where significant partial melting occurs, and to the surface, where hotspot lavas are erupting today, and where episodic kimberlite and especially LIP activity have led to major climate perturbations and Earth crises on very short timescales. The degree of climate perturbations and mass extinctions probably reflects a combination of LIP-emplacement environment and magma volume, and a key challenge in understanding the short-term LIP-environment connection is the lack of reliable estimates of magma and gas volumes, and their fluxes.
• Hotspot and kimberlite volcanoes generally have relatively small climate effects compared with that of LIPs (because of volumetric and flux differences), but the eruption of large kimberlite clusters, notably in the
Cretaceous, could be capable of delivering enough CO₂ to the atmosphere (1000 Gt or more) to trigger sudden global warming events.

- LIPs are episodic but there is a notable concentration in the Cretaceous (145–66 Ma) when also 75% of all known Mesozoic-Cenozoic kimberlites erupted. Considering slab sinking rates, the time basaltic material may remain near the core–mantle boundary and plume ascent rates, we estimate that material transfer through the entire system (i.e., from subduction of crustal materials until their re-eruption at a hotspot via a plume) should take at least 260 Myr. If so, the vast amount of kimberlites and LIPs that surfaced in the Cretaceous therefore contain material derived from Rheic and Panthalassic oceanic lithosphere, which was subducted before Pangea formed. However, the formation of plumes may also be influenced by mantle flow caused by much younger slabs of perhaps only half that age, which have only sunk to the mid-mantle, without containing any material derived from them.

- LIP activity affects plate tectonics by creating and modifying plate boundaries, best exemplified by the Atlantic bordering continents where LIP activity was followed by continental break-up and seafloor spreading within a few million years. This resulted in LIP fragmentation: for example, CAMP-related magmatism is now scattered across several different plates.

- The long-term climate is largely controlled by plate tectonic forcing, both via sources and sinks – e.g., silicate weathering – which can be enhanced by extensive blankets of LIP-lava on short (<1 Myr) and potentially much longer timescales (not well documented). A key challenge is understanding the rate and timing of LIP weathering lies in securing reliable estimates of the original subaerial lava-areas, and improved paleogeographic biome maps to evaluate climate gradients and weatherability in deep time.

- Subduction fluxes derived from full-plate models provide a powerful means of estimating plate tectonic CO₂ degassing (sourcing) through time. These correlate well with zircon age frequency distributions and zircon age peaks clearly correspond to intervals of high subduction flux associated with greenhouse conditions. Lows in zircon age frequency are more variable with links to both icehouse and greenhouse conditions, and only the Permo-Carboniferous icehouse is clearly related to the zircon and subduction flux record. A key challenge is to develop reliable full-plate models before the Devonian in order to consider the subduction flux during the end-Ordovician Hirnantian glaciations, but we also expect refinements in subduction fluxes for Mesozoic-Cenozoic times as more advanced ocean-basin models with intra-oceanic subduction are being developed and implemented in full-plate models.

- The Permo-Carboniferous and end-Cenozoic icehouses correspond to periods of low CO₂ levels and low plate tectonic degassing. Elevated CO₂ values in the early Triassic may have resulted from a combination of increased plate tectonic degassing (sourcing) and reduced weatherability due to a low latitude arid region running across most of central Pangea.

- Relative degassing estimates based on subduction flux rates are too low to match proxy CO₂ levels for parts of the Mesozoic and notably the early Cenozoic. Implementing intra-oceanic subduction histories, adding fluxes from continental rifts and/or explicitly considering the intersection of continental magmatic arcs with carbonate-filled basins through time would almost certainly increase plate tectonic degassing and further minimize existing model-proxy CO₂ mismatches. But there are many other parameters to consider – including the silicate weathering parametrization – which can be improved by better global paleogeographies to estimate theoretical weatherability, and confirmed by biome maps.
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**APPENDIX 16.1**

**COMPUTING PLUME ASCENT TIME** Both for the plume conduit and the plume head, lateral advection is computed from the ambient mantle flow field. Radial motion of plume conduit elements is computed as the sum of ambient mantle flow and rising speed, whereas for the plume head, buoyant rising is dominant and the ambient vertical flow component is disregarded. Also, if the contribution of ambient flow on plume head rising were considered, it would not be possible to prescribe total ascent time, and hence match the hotspot age (Table 16.1) for a specified time when the plume head starts rising from the lowermost mantle, as it could only be determined after the modeling. To compute mantle flow, mantle density structure is derived from a seismic tomography model. Here we use $s10mean$ (Doubrovine et al. 2016), but we also report results using $smean$ (Becker & Boschi 2002) for comparison. For most of the mantle, we use a thermal scaling of seismic velocity to density anomalies (Model 2 of Steinberger & Calderwood 2006), but we also consider that LLSVPs are probably chemically distinct, by adding a “chemical” density anomaly ($1.2\%$) to those regions below a certain depth (2600 km, i.e., in the lowermost 300 km of the mantle) where the seismic velocity anomaly is more negative than a specified value ($–0.9\%$ for $s10mean$, $–1\%$ for $smean$). The LLSVPs were outlined in this way because steep horizontal gradients in seismic velocity tend to be most frequent near those values of shear-wave anomaly, and both seismic velocity anomalies themselves and steep horizontal gradients are most pronounced in the lowermost 300 km (Torsvik et al. 2006; Burke et al. 2008). Adding a chemical density anomaly of $1.2\%$ makes the piles overall slightly negatively buoyant, despite being hotter, approximately consistent with their long-term stability and cross-sectional shape (Mulyukova et al. 2015a) and CMB topography constraints (Steinberger & Holme 2008). Regardless of whether this chemical anomaly is added, LLSVPs are overlain by buoyant, rising material. Hence, large-scale flow structure and inferred plume source locations do not strongly depend on whether the chemical anomaly is considered.

In the uppermost 220 km, density anomalies are reduced by a factor 0.5 because strong positive seismic velocity anomalies in the continental lithosphere would otherwise be interpreted as unrealistically strong density anomalies. This simplified treatment is justified because density anomalies in the upper 220 km have almost no effect at all on the results. We use the preferred mantle viscosity model 2b of Steinberger & Calderwood (2006), which features a strong increase of viscosity with depth, from $3 \times 10^{20}$ Pas below the lithosphere to nearly $10^{23}$ Pas in the lower part of the lower mantle. A similar viscosity structure was previously found to yield sufficiently slow hotspot motion compatible with observed tracks (Steinberger & O’Connell, 1998): A rather high viscosity in the lowermost mantle is required such that mantle flow, and hence advection of plume conduits, is sufficiently slow. A low viscosity just below the lithosphere helps to largely decouple mantle flow from plate motions, which are imposed as boundary conditions to flow, and hence prevents plume conduits from becoming strongly tilted (incompatible with observations) by moving plates. Results strongly depend on which mantle viscosity structure is used (Steinberger & O’Connell 1998), however for those viscosity structures that yield amounts of hotspot motion compatible with observations, and moderate plume conduits tilts typically not exceeding $60^\circ$, and that also match other constraints (geoid, heat flux, postglacial rebound), results tend to be overall similar. Hence, we do not vary mantle viscosity here.
Based on the viscosity and density structure, and time-dependent plate motions (Torsvik et al. 2010b) prescribed as surface boundary conditions, large-scale mantle flow is computed with the method of Hager & O’Connell (1979, 1981), extended to consider compressibility (Panasyuk et al. 1996) and the effect of phase boundary deflection but disregarding latent heat release and absorption at phase boundaries, as this was found to play a minor role (Steinberger 2007). At the core–mantle boundary, we use a free-slip boundary condition, except for spherical harmonic degree-1 toroidal flow (the net rotation component) where we use no-slip, such that it is possible to apply a net rotation of the lithosphere without causing a net rotation in the deep mantle. Radial density structure is adopted from PREM (Dziewonski & Anderson 1981), and a constant gravity 10 m/s² is used. The flow field is also used to backward-adveet density heterogeneities, which are hence time-dependent. Before 68 Ma, a constant density structure is used, as backward-advection becomes increasingly unreliable further back in time (Steinberger & O’Connell 1998). Considering time-dependence of flow is not crucial, and results remain qualitatively similar even if constant present-day flow is used. To model the motion of the plume conduit we use hotspot ages, buoyancy fluxes, and locations as listed Table 16.1, and with these parameters and viscosity structure, the plume conduit rising speed through the surrounding mantle is computed as in Steinberger et al. (2004) based on a modified Stokes formula (Richards & Griffiths 1988). The hotspot ages correspond to the time when the plume reaches the surface. The time when the plume head detaches from the source depth (taken as 2620 km depth, at the top of a low-viscosity D” layer) is accordingly earlier by an amount equal to the plume head rise time.